

1 STEVEN A. GIBSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6656
2 sgibson@gibsonlowry.com
3 JODI DONETTA LOWRY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 7798
4 jdlowry@gibsonlowry.com

5 GIBSON LOWRY BURRIS LLP
City Center West
6 7201 West Lake Mead Boulevard
Suite 503
7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Telephone 702.541.7888
8 Facsimile 702.541.7899

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
Stephens Media LLC

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
13

14 STEPHENS MEDIA LLC, a Nevada limited-
15 liability company,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 US COMMERCE ASSOCIATION, a business
19 entity of unknown form; KELLY
20 MCCARTNEY, an individual,

21 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:09-cv-2405

**COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY
TRIAL**

22
23 Stephens Media LLC (“Stephens”) complains as follows, on information and belief,
24 against US Commerce Association (“USCA”) and Kelly McCartney (“McCartney”; collectively
25 with USCA, “Defendants”):
26
27
28

1 **NATURE OF ACTION**

2 1. This is an action for mark infringement under Section 32 of the Lanham
3 Trademark Act of 1946 (“Lanham Act”) (15 U.S.C. §1114), false designation of origin under
4 Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1125(a)), mark dilution under Section 43(c) of the
5 Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1125(c)), mark infringement under Nevada common law, mark dilution
6 under Nevada Revised Statutes 600.435, misappropriation of licensable commercial properties
7 under Nevada common law, and unjust enrichment, all arising from Defendants’ unauthorized
8 use of the mark BEST OF LAS VEGAS (the “Infringing Mark”). As a result of the unlawful
9 actions set forth herein, Stephens seeks injunctive relief and the recovery of actual damages,
10 statutory damages, treble damages, special damages, costs of suit, attorneys’ fees, and/or such
11 other relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

12 **PARTIES**

13
14 2. Stephens is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, a Nevada limited-
15 liability company with its principal place of business in Nevada.

16 3. Stephens is, and has been at all times relevant to this lawsuit, in good standing
17 with the Secretary of State of Nevada.

18 4. USCA purports to have its principal place of business in Washington, D.C., but is
19 not registered as an organization doing business in the District of Columbia with the Department
20 of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs of the District of Columbia.

21 5. McCartney is an individual whose state of domicile is unknown.

22 6. Defendants jointly hold the registration for the World Wide Web site through
23 which Defendants engage in the tortious activities described herein, www.us-ca.org (the “USCA
24 Website”).

25 7. McCartney directs and effectuates the tortious activities described herein through
26 McCartney’s alter ego and/or under McCartney’s trade name USCA.

JURISDICTION

1
2 8. This Court has original jurisdiction over Stephens' First, Second, and Third
3 Causes of Action pursuant to §39 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1121) because Stephens' First,
4 Second, and Third Causes of Action arise under the Lanham Act.

5 9. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Stephens' Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and
6 Seventh Causes of Action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 because Stephens' Fourth, Fifth, Sixth,
7 and Seventh Causes of Action are so related to Stephens' First, Second, and Third Causes of
8 Action that Stephens' Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Causes of Action form part of the same
9 case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.

10 10. Personal jurisdiction over USCA is proper because USCA has purposefully
11 directed USCA's activities to residents of Nevada, which activities have resulted in the injuries
12 to Stephens alleged herein.

13 11. Personal jurisdiction over McCartney is proper because McCartney has
14 purposefully directed McCartney's activities, and caused USCA to direct USCA's activities, to
15 residents of Nevada, which activities have resulted in the injuries to Stephens alleged herein.

16
17 **VENUE**

18 12. This action is appropriately venued in the District of Nevada, pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. §1391(b)(2), because a substantial part of the events that give rise to Stephens' causes of
20 action set forth herein took place in the District of Nevada, in that Defendants solicited
21 customers under the Infringing Mark in the District of Nevada, and consumers in the District of
22 Nevada are likely to be confused with respect to the source of Defendants' goods and services.

23 13. This action is appropriately venued in the District of Nevada, pursuant to 28
24 U.S.C. §1391(c), because Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in the District of
25 Nevada.

26
27 **FACTS**

28 14. Each year since 1982, the *Las Vegas Review-Journal* newspaper (the "R-J"),
published by Stephens, has conducted a poll of R-J readers on a wide variety of subjects and

1 published the results in a special supplement to the *R-J* entitled BEST OF LAS VEGAS.

2 Thousands of *R-J* readers cast ballots in the most recent BEST OF LAS VEGAS poll, which
3 asked readers to name readers' preferences in over 200 categories, including, *e.g.*, steakhouses,
4 concert venues, wedding chapels, and local television anchors.

5 15. In addition to the print version of the readers' poll, each year since 1998 the *R-J*
6 has also conducted an online poll under the mark BEST OF LAS VEGAS at the *R-J*'s website.
7 The results of both the print and online editions of the readers' poll are available on the *R-J*'s
8 website.

9 16. The annual readers' poll conducted by the *R-J* under the mark BEST OF LAS
10 VEGAS is a well-known feature of the *R-J* and has contributed to the success and growth of the
11 *R-J*'s circulation, as well as to that of the related print and Internet publications of Stephens and
12 Stephens' related entities.

13 17. Stephens is the assignee of certain trademarks and service marks registered with
14 the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "USPTO") as follows (collectively, the
15 "Stephens Marks"):

- 16 a. THE BEST OF LAS VEGAS and Design, Registration Number 2410131,
17 International Class 16, with respect to a section of a newspaper featuring
18 consumer preferences and recommendations regarding people, places, goods,
19 services, restaurants, entertainment, arts, sports, and recreation in the Las
20 Vegas area, first used in commerce with respect to such scope of use on or
21 about March 24, 1996 and registered with the USPTO on December 5, 2000;
- 22 b. THE BEST OF LAS VEGAS and Design, Registration Number 2519098,
23 International Class 16, with respect to newspaper articles, periodicals, and
24 pamphlets featuring general information about people, places, goods, services,
25 restaurants, entertainment, arts, sports, and recreation, and also with respect to
26 paper award certificates, first used in commerce with respect to such scope of
27 use on or about March 24, 1996 and registered with the USPTO on December
28 18, 2001;

- 1 c. BEST OF LAS VEGAS, Registration Number 2572556, International Class
2 16, with respect to newspaper articles, periodicals, and pamphlets featuring
3 general information about people, places, goods, services, restaurants,
4 entertainment, arts, sports, and recreation, and also with respect to paper
5 award certificates, first used in commerce with respect to such scope of use on
6 or about February 12, 1984 and registered with the USPTO on May 28, 2002
- 7 d. BEST OF LAS VEGAS, Registration Number 2410129, International Class
8 35, with respect to promoting the sale of goods and services of others by
9 conducting and disseminating business surveys featuring consumer
10 preferences and recommendations regarding people, places, goods, services,
11 restaurants, entertainment, arts, sports, and recreation in the Las Vegas area,
12 first used in commerce with respect to such scope of use on or about February
13 12, 1984 and registered with the USPTO on December 5, 2000; and
- 14 e. BEST OF LAS VEGAS, Registration Number 2410130, International Class
15 42, with respect to providing a web site featuring business and consumer
16 preferences and recommendations regarding people, places, goods, services,
17 restaurants, entertainment, arts, sports, and recreation in the Las Vegas area,
18 first used in commerce with respect to such scope of use on or about October
19 31, 1997 and registered with the USPTO on December 5, 2000.

20 18. By virtue of Stephens' long-standing, extensively-advertised use of the Stephens
21 Marks in the Las Vegas area, the Stephens Marks have gained secondary meaning primarily
22 denoting Stephens as the Mark's source of origin.

23 19. Defendants are in the business of selling "award" plaques and trophies to small
24 businesses by sending those small businesses e-mails (the "Solicitation E-Mails") in which
25 Defendants represent that the small business receiving a particular e-mail has won a "Best of Las
26 Vegas Award" from USCA. Each Solicitation E-Mail depicts a simulacrum of an "award"
27 plaque or trophy bearing the Infringing Mark and the name of the small business receiving the
28 Solicitation E-Mail, and contains the following text:

1 Each year, the US Commerce Association (USCA) identifies
2 companies that we believe have achieved exceptional marketing
3 success in their local community and business category. These are
4 local companies that enhance the positive image of small business
5 through service to their customers and community.
6

7 20. A small business that has been designated as an “award” recipient by Defendants
8 must pay Defendants, via USCA’s website www.us-ca.org (the “USCA Website”),
9 approximately \$80.00 to receive an “award” plaque or \$180.00 to receive an “award” trophy.

10 21. Defendants provide to each small business that has been designated as an “award”
11 recipient by Defendants the opportunity to download from the USCA Website, and to publish as
12 freely as the small business wishes, a press release identifying that business as the “winner” of
13 one of Defendants’ “awards” denominated by the Infringing Mark (the “Press Releases”).

14 22. USCA is not actually a legitimate business or commerce association, but is
15 merely a scheme, directed and effectuated by McCartney, to sell “award” plaques and trophies to
16 unsuspecting small businesses.

17 23. The Better Business Bureau of Washington, D.C., in response to multiple
18 inquiries and complaints from Defendants’ “award” recipients, has given USCA a “BBB Rating”
19 of “F,” and newspapers throughout the United States have published articles warning readers not
20 to fall victim to USCA’s phony “award” schemes, identical in operation to the scheme conducted
21 under the Infringing Mark, that Defendants have operated in those newspapers’ locales.

22 24. At least as recently as September 15, 2009, Defendants sent a Solicitation E-Mail
23 to a Las Vegas-area business and offered to that business the opportunity to: (a) purchase an
24 “award” plaque or trophy depicting the Infringing Mark, and (b) download a Press Release from
25 the USCA Website.

26 25. Multiple Las Vegas-area businesses, which businesses have never been
27 recognized in any of the preference surveys conducted under the Stephens Marks, have published
28 on the Internet Defendants’ Press Releases identifying those businesses as “Best of Las Vegas”
award winners.

1 26. Neither McCartney nor USCA are affiliated with Stephens or any subsidiary of
2 Stephens, and Stephens has not authorized McCartney or USCA to use in any manner the
3 Stephens Marks or any variants thereof, including, but not limited to, the Infringing Mark.

4 27. Defendants used the Infringing Mark in interstate commerce in connection with
5 Defendants' goods and services, specifically, on USCA's "awards," in the Solicitation E-Mails,
6 and in the Press Releases.

7 28. Consumers and the public will improperly conclude that Stephens sponsors and/or
8 is affiliated with USCA as a result of such consumers and the public observing the Infringing
9 Mark on the Solicitation E-Mails, on USCA's "awards," and in published Press Releases.

10 29. The goodwill inuring to Stephens from the Stephens Marks is tarnished by any
11 implication of apparent affiliation between Stephens and USCA as a result of Defendants' use of
12 the Infringing Mark on the Solicitation E-Mails, on USCA's "awards," and on the Press
13 Releases.

14
15 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **MARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER THE LANHAM ACT, 15 U.S.C. §1114(1)(a)**

17 30. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 21
18 above.

19 31. Defendants are using and/or have used the Stephens Marks in commerce in
20 connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and advertising of goods and services,
21 with knowledge that Defendants' use of the Stephens Marks in commerce constitutes the use of a
22 counterfeit mark or designation (the "Infringing Use").

23 32. The Infringing Use is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive
24 consumers and the public with respect to the goods and services offered in commerce by
25 Defendants.

26 33. Defendants have willfully engaged in the Infringing Use with knowledge that the
27 Infringing Use constitutes an infringement of the Stephens Marks.
28

1 34. The Infringing Use has damaged and will continue to damage the reputation and
2 goodwill of Stephens established in connection with the Stephens Marks, in violation of §32 of
3 the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1114).

4 35. Stephens has sustained actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the
5 Infringing Use, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those actual damages
6 pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

7 36. Defendants have profited as a direct and proximate result of the Infringing Use,
8 and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those profits pursuant to §35 of the
9 Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

10 37. Defendants are liable to Stephens for three times Stephens' actual damages or
11 Defendants' profits resulting from the Infringing Use, whichever is greater, plus prejudgment
12 interest on such amount; or, in the alternative, to statutory damages not exceeding \$2,000,000 per
13 type of goods and services sold, offered for sale, or distributed by Defendants under the
14 Infringing Mark, pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117) as amended by the
15 Prioritizing Resources and Organization for Intellectual Property Act of 2008.

16 38. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts,
17 have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens
18 cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

19 39. Unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further
20 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, Stephens will be irreparably harmed, and
21 Stephens is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
22 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, pursuant to §34 of the Lanham Act (15
23 U.S.C. §1116).

24 40. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and
25 Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens' attorney fees incurred in connection with the
26 prosecution of this action, pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

27 41. Stephens has incurred costs of suit in connection with bringing this action, and
28 Defendants are liable to Stephens for those costs of suit pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15
U.S.C. §1117).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN UNDER THE LANHAM ACT, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a)

42. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 33 above.

43. The Infringing Use constitutes a false designation of origin and a false description and representation of Defendants' business and goods and services, which has damaged and will continue to damage the reputation and goodwill of Stephens established in connection with the Stephens Marks, in violation of §43(a) of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1125(a)).

44. Stephens has sustained actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the Infringing Use, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those actual damages pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

45. Defendants have profited as a direct and proximate result of the Infringing Use, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those profits pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

46. Defendants are liable to Stephens for up to three times Stephens' actual damages, plus Defendants' profits, resulting from the Infringing Use.

47. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts, have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

48. Unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, Stephens will continue to be irreparably harmed, and Stephens is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, pursuant to §34 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1116).

49. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens' attorney fees incurred in connection with the prosecution of this action, pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

1 58. Defendants have profited as a direct and proximate result of the Infringing Use,
2 and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those profits pursuant to §35 of the
3 Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

4 59. Defendants are liable to Stephens for up to three times Stephens' actual damages,
5 plus Defendants' profits, resulting from the Infringing Use.

6 60. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts,
7 have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens
8 cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

9 61. Unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further
10 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, Stephens will be irreparably harmed, and
11 Stephens is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
12 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, pursuant to §34 of the Lanham Act (15
13 U.S.C. §1116).

14 62. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and
15 Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens' attorney fees incurred in connection with the
16 prosecution of this action, pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §1117).

17 63. Stephens has incurred costs of suit in connection with bringing this action, and
18 Defendants are liable to Stephens for those costs of suit pursuant to §35 of the Lanham Act (15
19 U.S.C. §1117).

20
21 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

22 **MARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER NEVADA COMMON LAW**

23 64. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 55
24 above.

25 65. The Infringing Use is likely to cause confusion, cause mistake, or deceive
26 consumers and the public with respect to the goods and/or services offered in commerce by
27 Defendants, in violation of the common law of the State of Nevada.

28 66. The Infringing Use has damaged and will continue to damage the reputation and
goodwill of Stephens established in connection with the Mark.

1 67. Defendants engaged in the Infringing Use oppressively, fraudulently, and
2 maliciously.

3 68. Stephens has sustained actual damages as a direct and proximate result of the
4 Infringing Use, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those actual damages.

5 69. Defendants have profited as a direct and proximate result of the Infringing Use,
6 and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those profits.

7 70. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts,
8 have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens
9 cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

10 71. Unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further
11 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, Stephens will be irreparably harmed, and
12 Stephens is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
13 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks.

14 72. Defendants' fraudulence, maliciousness, and oppressiveness in engaging in the
15 Infringing Use entitles Stephens to punitive damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.

16 73. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and
17 Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens' attorney fees incurred in connection with the
18 prosecution of this action.

19 74. Stephens has incurred costs of suit in connection with bringing this action, and
20 Defendants are liable to Stephens for those costs of suit.

21
22 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **MARK DILUTION UNDER NRS 600.435**

24 75. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 66
25 above.

26 76. During the over 25 years in which the Stephens Marks have been in continuous
27 use and subject to extensive marketing, the Stephens Marks have acquired a high level of
28 distinctiveness and fame in connection with Stephens' provision of goods and services under the
Stephens Marks.

1 77. The Stephens Marks were famous when Defendants began using the Infringing
2 Mark in interstate commerce.

3 78. Defendants' wrongful use of the Infringing Mark was willful, and Defendants
4 willfully intended to trade on the recognition of the Stephens Marks when Defendants
5 commenced use of the Infringing Mark.

6 79. Defendants commenced Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark after December
7 5, 2000.

8 80. Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark, which is identical or nearly identical to
9 the Stephens Marks, causes dilution of the capacity of the Stephens Marks to identify and
10 distinguish goods and/or services, and such dilution has damaged and will continue to damage
11 the reputation and goodwill of Stephens established in connection with the Stephens Marks, in
12 violation of NRS 600.435.

13 81. Defendants have profited as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful
14 Infringing Use, and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those profits, pursuant to
15 NRS 600.435(3).

16 82. Defendants are liable to Stephens for up to three times Stephens' actual damages,
17 plus Defendants' profits, resulting from the Infringing Use, pursuant to NRS 600.435(3).

18 83. Defendants' acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts,
19 have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens
20 cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

21 84. Unless Defendants are preliminarily and permanently enjoined from further
22 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, Stephens will be irreparably harmed, and
23 Stephens is thus entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
24 infringement by Defendants of the Stephens Marks, pursuant to NRS 600.435(3).

25 85. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and
26 Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens' attorney fees incurred in connection with the
27 prosecution of this action, pursuant to NRS 600.435(3).

28 86. Stephens has incurred costs of suit in connection with bringing this action, and
Defendants are liable to Stephens for those costs of suit pursuant to NRS 600.435(3).

1
2 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **MISAPPROPRIATION OF LICENSABLE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY UNDER**

4 **NEVADA COMMON LAW**

5 87. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 78
6 above.

7 88. Stephens has invested significant time, effort, and money in creating, publicizing,
8 and protecting the Stephens Marks and developing the valuable goodwill arising from and
9 associated with the Stephens Marks (collectively the “Commercial Property”).

10 89. Stephens has licensed and continues to license the Commercial Property in return
11 for value.

12 90. The Infringing Mark is a commercial property that may be licensed for value.

13 91. Defendants do not have and have never had the legal right, authority, or license to
14 use the Infringing Mark.

15 92. Defendants’ wrongful use of the Infringing Mark, undertaken without authority
16 from Stephens, deprived Stephens of the commercial value of the Infringing Mark.

17 93. Stephens has sustained and will continue to sustain damages as a direct and
18 proximate result of Defendants’ misappropriation of Stephens’ licensable Commercial Property,
19 and Defendants are liable to Stephens for the amount of those present and future damages.

20 94. Defendants’ acts as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those acts,
21 have caused and will continue to cause irreparable harm to Stephens in an amount Stephens
22 cannot ascertain, leaving Stephens with no adequate remedy at law.

23 95. Stephens is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against further
24 misappropriation by Defendants of Stephens’ licensable Commercial Property.

25 96. Stephens has been required to retain an attorney to prosecute this action, and
26 Defendants are liable to Stephens for Stephens’ attorney fees incurred in connection with the
27 prosecution of this action.

28 97. Stephens has incurred costs of suit in connection with bringing this action, and
Defendants are liable to Stephens for those costs of suit.

1
2 **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **UNJUST ENRICHMENT**

4 98. Stephens repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 89
5 above.

6 99. Stephens owns the Stephens Marks.

7 100. Defendants do not have and have never had authority to use the Stephens Marks.

8 101. Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark is in furtherance of Defendants' own
9 economic gain by promoting Defendants' goods and services to customers and the public.

10 102. Defendants accepted and retained all of the profits and benefits of Defendants'
11 unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark.

12 103. Stephens is entitled to recover an amount by which Defendants have been unjustly
13 enriched through Defendants' unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark.

14 104. As a result of Defendants' acts as alleged herein, Stephens has suffered and will
15 continue to suffer damage to Stephens' business, goodwill, reputation, and profits, while
16 Defendants profit at Stephens' expense.

17
18 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

19 Stephens requests that this Court grant Stephens' claims for relief herein as follows:

20 1. Preliminarily and permanently enjoin and restrain Defendants, and Defendants'
21 officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, parents, subsidiaries, related
22 companies, partners, and all persons acting for, by, with, through, or under
23 Defendants, from:

24 a. Directly or indirectly infringing the Stephens Marks by marketing,
25 offering, selling, disposing of, licensing, leasing, transferring, displaying,
26 advertising, reproducing, exhibiting, exploiting, or causing the marketing,
27 offering, selling, disposing, licensing, leasing, transferring, displaying,
28 advertising, reproducing, exhibiting, exploiting, developing,
manufacturing, or linking of any goods or services derived from or bearing

1 the Stephens Marks, or to order, direct, participate in, or assist in any such
2 activity; and

3 b. Using in any manner the Stephens Marks and any term or terms likely to
4 cause confusion therewith, including, without limitation, the Infringing
5 Mark (BEST OF LAS VEGAS) and any variant thereof, in connection
6 with the retrieval of data or information on Defendants' goods or services,
7 or in connection with the advertising or promotion of Defendants' goods,
8 services, or websites, or ordering, directing, participating in, or assisting in
9 any such use;

10 2. Direct Defendants to preserve, retain, and deliver to Stephens' counsel in hard
11 copies or electronic copies:

12 a. All evidence and documentation relating in any way to Defendants' use of
13 the Infringing Mark and the Stephens Marks, in any form, including,
14 without limitation, all such evidence and documentation relating to goods
15 or services bearing the Infringing Mark, to the USCA Website, or to any
16 other websites relating to the Infringing Mark;

17 b. All evidence and documentation relating to the names and addresses
18 (whether electronic mail addresses or otherwise) of any person with whom
19 Defendants have communicated regarding Defendants' use of the
20 Infringing Mark; and

21 c. All financial evidence and documentation relating to Defendants' goods
22 and services, to the extent such financial evidence and documentation
23 relates to Defendants' use of the Infringing Mark;

24 3. Direct Defendants to file with this Court and serve upon Stephens' counsel,
25 within 30 days after entry of injunctive relief against Defendants, a report in
26 writing, under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which
27 Defendants complied with such injunction, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1116(a);

28 4. Enjoin Defendants from engaging in any further unlawful and/or wrongful acts as
alleged herein, including, without limitation, mark infringement, false designation

1 of origin, or mark dilution as set forth in the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1051 *et*
2 *seq.*, and any mark infringement, mark dilution, misappropriation of commercial
3 property, and unjust enrichment in violation of Nevada law;

- 4 5. Direct Defendants to produce an accounting of Defendants' profits derived
5 through any of the acts alleged herein;
 - 6 6. Award Stephens Defendants' profits derived from the use of the Infringing Mark
7 and three times Stephens' damages suffered by reason of Defendants' willful and
8 wrongful acts, and/or, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117, award Stephens statutory
9 damages of no less than \$1,000.00 as a result of Defendants' wrongful acts,
 - 10 7. Award Stephens costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in bringing
11 this action;
 - 12 8. Award Stephens pre- and post-judgment interest in accordance with applicable
13 law; and
 - 14 9. Grant Stephens such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.
- 15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Stephens requests a trial by jury pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 38.

Dated this 21st day of December, 2009.

GIBSON LOWRY BURRIS LLP

By: /s/J.D. Lowry
Steven A. Gibson
Nevada Bar No. 6656
Jodi Donetta Lowry
Nevada Bar No. 7798
7201 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 503
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128
Attorneys for Stephens Media LLC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28