COMP DANIEL J. ALBREGTS (SBN 4435) 1 LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL ALBREGTS, LTD FILED 601 South 10th Street, Suite 202 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101-7072 3 Telephone: (702) 474-4004 Facsimile: (702) 474-0739 4 PIERCE O'DONNELL (Pro Hac Vice pending) STEVEN J. AARONOFF (Pro Hac Vice pending)
O'DONNELL &ASSOCIATES P.C. 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1000 Los Angeles, California 90071 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 TERRY K. WATANABE 9 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT COURT 10 STATE OF NEVADA 11 Case No. A-C9-603929-B TERRY K. WATANABE, an individual, 12 13 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT 14 v. HARRAH'S ENTERTAINMENT, INC., a Delaware corporation; CAESARS PALACE JURY TRIAL DEMANDED CORPORATION dba CAESARS PALACE; a 16 Nevada corporation; RIO PROPERTIES, INC. dba RIO ALL SUITE HOTEL AND CASINO, a 17 Nevada corporation; and DOE individuals 1 through 50, and ROE entities 1 through 50, 18 inclusive. 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT CASE NO.

Plaintiff Terry K. Watanabe ("Plaintiff" or "Watanabe"), for his Complaint against Defendants Harrah's Entertainment, Inc. ("Harrah's"); Caesars Palace Corporation dba Caesars Palace ("Caesars Palace"); and Rio Properties, Inc. dba Rio All Suite Hotel & Casino ("Rio"), upon knowledge as to his own acts, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, hereby alleges:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction because Watanabe seeks damages in excess of the minimum jurisdictional requirements of the Court under Article 6, Section 6 of the Constitution of the State of Nevada.
- 2. Venue in this judicial district is proper because a substantial part of the events, acts, and omissions giving rise to this Complaint occurred in Clark County, Nevada and the defendants transact business and are located in Clark County, Nevada.

PARTIES

- 3. At all times mentioned herein, Watanabe was and is a United States citizen residing in Nebraska and/or California.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant Harrah's was and is a Delaware corporation operating under the statutory and regulatory authority of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada. Harrah's is a global provider of casino gambling and entertainment services.
- 5. Defendant Caesars Palace is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada. Caesars Palace is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Harrah's.
- 6. Defendant Rio is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada. Rio is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Harrah's.
- 7. The true names of the individuals and entities named respectively herein as DOES 1 through 50, and ROES 1 through 50, inclusive, are presently unknown to Watanabe who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. When the true names of DOES 1 through 50, and ROES 1

the true names of said defendants. Watanabe is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that the DOE and ROE defendants designated herein are responsible in some manner for the events and occurrences described in this Complaint.

through 50, inclusive, are discovered, Watanabe will seek leave to amend this Complaint to substitute

8. At all relevant times, each of the defendants named herein was the agent, employee, representative and/or co-conspirator of each of his/its fellow defendants, and in doing the actions herein set forth, did so within the course and scope of such relationship, and/or with the knowledge and consent of his/its fellow defendants, and where employment relationships may be alleged, for the benefit of the employer and within the course and scope of employment. Each defendant named herein is subject to vicarious liability, joint and several liability, liability under the doctrine of respondent superior, liability as a co-conspirator and aider and abettor, and/or is otherwise legally responsible for the actions and/or omissions of each other defendant as alleged herein.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS¹

- 9. Watanabe's significant play at Harrah's casinos began in 2003, at properties nearby his home in Omaha, Nebraska. During 2006, Watanabe split his time primarily between the Rio, a Harrah's casino, and the Wynn Las Vegas casino. By late 2006, he was residing at the Wynn, but primarily gambled at Harrah's casinos. After leaving the Wynn casino in June 2007, Watanabe moved to the Rio.
- 10. After a short time at the Rio, Watanabe was moved by Harrah's from the Rio to Caesars Palace, also a Harrah's casino. Watanabe then stayed and gambled at Caesars Palace, essentially non-stop, until December 2007, a period of about six months. Watanabe began devoting himself to gambling full-time as his primary income and livelihood source. He spent nearly all of his time either on the casino floor or in his hotel room at Caesars Palace.
- 11. During his stay at Caesars Palace in 2007, Watanabe was continually surrounded by supervisors and employees of Caesars Palace, including security guards, hosts, handlers, and corporate executives, such that his physical movements were constantly monitored and/or controlled by Caesars

¹ Attached as Exhibit "A" to this Complaint and incorporated herein by this reference is a true and correct copy of a letter dated November 18, 2009 and enclosures thereto from Watanabe's counsel to the Nevada Gaming Commission, which describes in greater detail the relevant facts surrounding the controversy between Watanabe and Defendants.

Palace, and freedom of movement, including but not limited to ingress to and egress from Caesars Palace property as he saw fit, was limited.

- 12. During this time, Watanabe was also under constant personal and/or electronic surveillance by Caesars Palace employees. Such surveillance was conducted in both public and private settings and without Watanabe's express or implied consent. Instructions to have security personnel constantly monitor Watanabe came from the highest management levels within Caesars Palace and Harrah's. The primary purpose and effect was not to protect him, but to control his every movement, ensuring that he remained on premises and gambling steadily at Caesars Palace and/or other Harrah's properties.
- 13. In November 2006, Watanabe had negotiated with Harrah's an express agreement that Harrah's would provide Watanabe with a package of "comps" and other incentives that would exceed in value those that he had been receiving at the Wynn.
- 14. In April 2007, Harrah's executives apparently decided that they did not want to honor their commitments to Watanabe regarding his comps and other incentives. In that month, without any notice to or agreement from Watanabe, Harrah's unilaterally and materially changed the terms of his comps and other incentives, to Harrah's own advantage and Watanabe's significant disadvantage.
- 15. Watanabe's losses escalated astronomically in the fall of 2007, just as his level of intoxication was reaching its most extreme. Harrah's responded not only by increasing his credit limit and providing him with a non-stop supply of alcohol and prescription pain killers, but also by increasing his table limits beyond those available to other patrons. This further exacerbated Watanabe's noticeably reckless gambling. By this time, he would frequently gamble for several days in a row with little interruption or sleep. The effect of the table limit increases was that his average bet increased significantly, meaning he would lose money even faster.
- 16. Harrah's executives and employees knew or should have known, as a result of events that had occurred by the fall of 2007, that Watanabe was rapidly running out of money, was physically and mentally impaired due to his chronic intoxication, and was severely addicted to gambling.
- 17. Harrah's is legally proscribed from using its direct and actual knowledge of a person's addictions, or other psychological and medical conditions, in a way that exploits, manipulates and/or

11

14

15

27

17 20 21

controls those addictions for Harrah's own financial benefit. Despite Harrah's and its officers, agents, employees and supervisors actual and constructive knowledge of Watanabe's alcohol and gambling addictions, Harrah's contributed to and exacerbated Watanabe's addictions throughout the fall of 2007 by actively and continually furnishing him with large quantities of a prescription painkiller Lortab without a prescription and with excessive amounts of alcohol, while continuing to extend him and more credit and encouraging him to gamble and lose tens of millions of dollars

- 18. Due to Watanabe's advanced and severe state of intoxication, he was mentally and legally incompetent and unable to comprehend and/or control his actions throughout the latter half of 2007, specifically including but not limited to the times of execution of markers in Las Vegas.
- 19. Previously, in November 2006, Watanabe had negotiated terms and conditions regarding his play at Harrah's-owned casinos. An agreed-upon term was that Harrah's would hold Watanabe's gambling markers for at least 60 days before presenting them for payment. As a result, the markers were not checks payable on demand but, rather, documentation of a loan to Watanabe and a receivable to Harrah's.
- 20. On January 31, 2008, despite its express agreement to the contrary and a long course of dealing with Watanabe reflecting that agreement—and without any notice to Watanabe—Harrah's presented various markers to Watanabe's bank for payment. In so doing, Harrah's breached the terms of its agreement with Watanabe to the effect that all his markers would be held for at least 60 days before Harrah's presented them for payment. In fact, many of the markers presented for payment on January 31, 2008, were not yet 60 days old. Watanabe's bank, which was not informed of the 60 day payment term, proceeded to cash several of the markers that were not yet due to be paid.
- 21. Before presenting the markers for payment, casino employees at Caesars Palace and Rio took the liberty of arbitrarily filling in missing dates on the markers, and in several instances, they also arbitrarily filled in bank account information, selecting for themselves from which of Watanabe's bank accounts the funds would be drawn. While most of the markers were duly paid with the funds remaining in the account in question, some of the markers were returned by Watanabe's bank.
- 22. Watanabe was out of the country when the markers were deposited, was unaware that the deposits were occurring, and was thus unable to object to the premature cashing of markers in violation

of Harrah's contract with Watanabe or to make arrangements that might otherwise have been made to ensure that the other markers would be paid when deposited and/or re-direct markers to the appropriate accounts.

- 23. In addition, Watanabe questioned Harrah's accounting of the money that it claimed was owed by Watanabe. Among other things, Watanabe claimed in good faith that he was owed credits, discounts, reimbursements, and other consideration that Harrah's had not given him. Moreover, Watanabe believed that he had been defrauded by Harrah's and that he, not Harrah's, was owed money.
- 24. Notwithstanding this legitimate business dispute, on May 18, 2008, Harrah's abruptly terminated negotiations with Watanabe, and Rio and Caesars formally submitted 38 bad check complaints against Watanabe to the Clark County District Attorney's Bad Check Unit ("BCU"). In fact, Watanabe had never given a check to the two Harrah's casinos but instead signed markers which are not negotiable instruments payable on demand due in part to the above-referenced express agreement with the casinos that they were not payable on demand.
- 25. In submitting its 38 complaints to the BCU, Caesars and Rio made material false statements and omitted material information on the required BCU forms that were signed under penalty of perjury by their authorized agent and employee William Case. For example, Harrah's did not disclose to the BCU that Watanabe and Harrah's had the express agreement that Watanabe's markers were not payable on demand and would be held for at least 60 days before they were presented for payment. The false and omitted information on the BCU forms was highly material evidencing at most a good faith, civil business dispute and not any criminal activity by Watanabe and would have weighed heavily against the institution of criminal proceedings.
- 26. Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio have all breached their duty of care to Watanabe, their contracts with him, and their obligations and duties to Watanabe under Harrah's "responsible gaming" and other internal policies as well as their duties imposed by Nevada law and the Nevada Gaming Control Board.
- As a result of the facts and incidents described herein, Watanabe has sustained and suffered significant injuries and damages, and will continue to sustain and suffer injuries and damages, including but not limited to, financial losses; personal, physical and psychological injuries as a result of

the extreme amounts of alcohol and Lortab pills that were provided by Harrah's employees to Watanabe; embarrassment, shame and humiliation in that his reputation, built on his storied success as a businessman and his generous philanthropic work, has been irrevocably tarnished and damaged; and Harrah's specific and deliberate theft of Watanabe's wealth through its predatory acts of keeping him intoxicated and drugged while encouraging him to gamble relentlessly, all the while providing him with ever-growing amounts of casino credit and increased table limits so as to siphon off his fortune for Harrah's gain, leaving him to contend with monumental gambling debts and an unwarranted criminal prosecution maliciously instituted at Harrah's behest.

As a result of the tortious conduct of Harrah's, Rio, and Caesars, Watanabe has been forced to incur significant attorney's fees and costs to defend himself against the meritless criminal prosecution for which Watanabe is entitled under the "tort of another" doctrine to full and complete reimbursement.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF Fraud: Obtaining Money or Property Under False Pretenses

FIRST COUNT

- 29. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 28 as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. On or about November 16, 2006, Harrah's entered into a written contract with Plaintiff containing a promise that Harrah's would provide Plaintiff a repayment period for the loan of at least 60 (sixty) days for all gambling markers. Under this agreement, no marker was to be presented to Plaintiff's bank for payment until at least 60 days had elapsed following Plaintiff's execution of such marker. Said written agreement is attached hereto within Exhibit "A" to this Complaint, as part of the emails dated November 10, 16 and 29, 2006 from Wilson Ning to Shannon Leather, and incorporated by this reference. Also attached hereto within Exhibit "A" to this Complaint and incorporated by this reference are pages bearing Bates stamp numbers P-00163, P-00216, P-00217, and P-00162, each of which contain entries from Harrah's computer systems in which the existence of a 60-day (or later) "hold" agreement was documented internally by Harrah's with respect to Watanabe.
- 31. At the time Harrah's made the aforementioned promise, Harrah's had no intention of honoring it.

- 32. The promise was made by Harrah's with the intent to induce Plaintiff to sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's and to encourage Plaintiff to conduct all gaming activities at Harrah's casinos.
- 33. At the time the promise was made and at all times up until after January 31, 2008, Plaintiff was ignorant of Harrah's secret intention not to perform and could not, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, have discovered Harrah's secret intention.
- 34. In reliance on Harrah's promise, Plaintiff did sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's. If Plaintiff had known of the actual intention of Harrah's, he would not have done so.
- 35. On or about January 31, 2008, Harrah's broke its promise by presenting markers to Plaintiff's bank for payment from Plaintiff's account when said markers had been outstanding for less than 60 days. Subsequently, Harrah's submitted false and misleading information to the BCU that led to Plaintiff's indictment.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 37. The aforementioned conduct of Harrah's was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to Harrah's, with the intention of thereby depriving Plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

SECOND COUNT

- 38. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 37 as though fully set forth herein.
- 39. In permitting Plaintiff to gamble at its casinos, and in extending gambling credit to Plaintiff, Harrah's expressly and impliedly promised to adhere to certain principles in the treatment of its gambling customers, as set forth in Harrah's "Code of Commitment" and "Responsible Gaming" policy and the legal requirements imposed by Nevada law and the Nevada Gaming Control Board.

- 40. At the time Harrah's made the aforementioned promise to Plaintiff, Harrah's had no intention of honoring it.
- 41. The promise was made by Harrah's with the intent to induce Plaintiff to sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's.
- 42. At the time the promise was made and at all times during his dealings with Harrah's, Plaintiff was ignorant of Harrah's secret intention not to perform and could not, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, have discovered Harrah's secret intention.
- 43. In reliance on Harrah's promise, Plaintiff did sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's. If Plaintiff had known of the actual intention of Harrah's, he would not have done so.
- 44. Throughout the latter half of 2006 and the entirety of 2007, Harrah's repeatedly and continually broke its promise by failing to cut off or even reduce Plaintiff's gaming privileges, despite Harrah's knowledge that he was a compulsive gambler and chemically dependent; by extending excessive credit to Plaintiff; and by providing him with excessive and/or illegal intoxicants.
- 45. As a direct and proximate result of Harrah's wrongful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 46. The aforementioned conduct of Harrah's was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to Harrah's, with the intention of thereby depriving Plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

THIRD COUNT

- 47. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Starting in 2006, and continuing throughout 2007, Harrah's promised Plaintiff that he would receive certain specified enticements in exchange for his ongoing gambling activity at Harrah's casinos, including participation in Harrah's "rewards credits" and/or "gift points" programs; discounts and cash back on his gambling losses; complimentary food, beverages and other hospitality-related goods and services; and other enticements and "comps," many of which were unique to Plaintiff. It was

further agreed between Plaintiff and Harrah's that the monetary value of the aforementioned "comps," enticements and benefits would exceed those which had previously been provided to Plaintiff by the Wynn Las Vegas casino prior to his departure from the Wynn in June 2007, and would effectively induce Plaintiff to move his gaming activities to Harrah's casinos.

- 49. At the time Harrah's made the aforementioned promise to Plaintiff, Harrah's had no intention of honoring it.
- 50. The promise was made by Harrah's with the intent to induce Plaintiff to sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's.
- 51. At the time the promise was made and at all times during his dealings with Harrah's, Plaintiff was ignorant of Harrah's secret intention not to perform and could not, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, have discovered Harrah's secret intention.
- 52. In reliance on Harrah's promise, Plaintiff did sign markers and accumulate losses and indebtedness to Harrah's. If Plaintiff had known of the actual intention of Harrah's, he would not have done so.
- 53. Throughout the latter half of 2006 and the entirety of 2007, Harrah's repeatedly and continually broke its promise by failing to cut off Plaintiff's gaming privileges, despite Harrah's knowledge that he was a compulsive gambler and chemically dependent; by extending excessive credit to Plaintiff; and by providing him with excessive and/or illegal intoxicants.
- 54. Starting at least as early as April, 2007, Harrah's broke its promise by unilaterally changing the way it calculated "rewards credits" and/or "gift points," with no notice to Plaintiff, such that Plaintiff's benefits thereunder were substantially less than previously agreed; by failing to fully apply Plaintiff's discounts and cash back in the negotiated amounts; and by failing to provide food, beverages and other hospitality-related goods and services to Plaintiff on a complimentary basis, as agreed; and by providing a package of "comps," enticements and benefits that failed to exceed that which had previously been provided to Plaintiff by the Wynn Las Vegas casino.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of Harrah's wrongful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

56. The aforementioned conduct of Harrah's was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to Harrah's, with the intention of thereby depriving Plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

FOURTH COUNT

- 57. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 56 as though fully set forth herein.
- Table games at Harrah's casinos have posted "table limits," which purport to be the maximum amount it is permissible to be wager at any one time. By posting table limits, Harrah's effectively promises its patrons, including Plaintiff, that it will not accept larger amounts, and will pay winners fairly based on the amount of the accepted wager.
- 59. At the time Harrah's made the aforementioned promise to Plaintiff, Harrah's had no intention of honoring it.
- 60. The promise was made by Harrah's with the intent to induce Plaintiff to wager his money in Harrah's table games.
- 61. At the time the promise was made and at all times during his dealings with Harrah's, Plaintiff was ignorant of Harrah's secret intention not to perform and could not, in the exercise of reasonable diligence, have discovered Harrah's secret intention.
- 62. In reliance on Harrah's promise, Plaintiff did wager his money in Harrah's table games. If Plaintiff had known of the actual intention of Harrah's, he would not have done so.
- 63. On at least one occasion in late 2007, while playing table games at Caesars Palace, Watanabe unintentionally placed bets that exceeded table limits. Dealers knowingly permitted him to make such bets. If Watanabe lost, the dealer would cheat him by taking, for the house, the entire amount wagered, including the amount that was in excess of the table limit. This happened with Harrah's full knowledge, but without any knowledge whatsoever on the part of Watanabe. In this way, Harrah's broke its promise regarding table limits and intentionally cheated and defrauded Plaintiff.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of Harrah's wrongful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

65. The aforementioned conduct of Harrah's was an intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or concealment of a material fact known to Harrah's, with the intention of thereby depriving Plaintiff of property or legal rights or otherwise causing injury, and was despicable conduct that subjected Plaintiff to a cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of his rights, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF Deceptive Trade Practices

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 65 as though fully set forth herein. 66.
- 67. The acts of Defendants alleged herein constitute deceptive trade practices under N.R.S. § 598.0903 et seq. Plaintiff has a private right of action for such deceptive trade practices pursuant to N.R.S. § 41.600.
- 68. The acts and conduct of Defendants as alleged were wanton, willful and malicious, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 69. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' deceptive trade practices, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 70. Plaintiff has been required to obtain the services of an attorney to prosecute this action. and is therefore entitled to attorney's fees and costs of suit, as provided by N.R.S. § 41.600(3).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 71. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1 through 70 as though fully set forth herein.
- 72. By their actions, Defendants wrongfully exerted dominion over Plaintiff's personal property—namely his money—for their own benefit and financial gain.
- 73. The acts and conduct of Defendants as alleged were wanton, willful and malicious, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 74. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful actions, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

FOURTHIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF General Negligence

26

27

75.	Plaintiff repeats and re	-alleges Paragraphs 1	through 74 as	s though fully set for	orth herein.
-----	--------------------------	-----------------------	---------------	------------------------	--------------

- 76. By engaging in the acts and omissions described above, including failing to cut off Plaintiff's gaming privileges, extending excessive credit to Plaintiff, and providing him with excessive intoxicants, Defendants' violated their duty of due care toward Plaintiff.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount according to proof, including but not limited to general and economic damages, medical and related expenses, and great mental, physical, emotional, and nervous injury, pain and suffering.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Negligence Per Se

- 78. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 77 as though fully set forth herein.
- 79. By engaging in the negligent acts and omission described above, Defendants violated multiple Nevada statutes, ordinances, and/or regulations.
 - 80. Said violations were the proximate cause of injuries to Plaintiff.
- 81. The injuries to Plaintiff resulted from occurrences the nature of which said statutes, ordinances and/or regulations were designed to prevent.
- 82. Plaintiff is one of the class of persons for whose protection said statutes, ordinances, and/or regulations were adopted.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, Plaintiff suffered damages in an amount according to proof, including but not limited to general and economic damages, medical and related expenses, and great mental, physical, emotional, and nervous injury, pain and suffering.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written Contract: 60-Day Repayment Period

- 84. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 83 as though fully set forth herein.
- 85. On or about November 16, 2006, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio entered into a written contract with Plaintiff granting to Plaintiff a repayment period of at least 60 (sixty) days for all gambling markers. Under this agreement, no marker was to be presented to Plaintiff's bank for payment until at least 60 days had elapsed following Plaintiff's execution of such marker.

- 86. On or about January 31, 2008, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio breached the agreement by presenting numerous markers for payment from Plaintiff's account at Wells Fargo Bank when said markers had been outstanding for less than 60 days.
- 87. Plaintiff has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on his part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 88. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of the contract by Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proved at trial.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written and Implied Contract: Responsible Gaming Policy

- 89. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 88 as though fully set forth herein.
- 90. Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio claim to adhere to certain principles in the treatment of their gambling customers, as set forth in Harrah's "Code of Commitment" and "Responsible Gaming" policy, which are made generally available to all Harrah's patrons.
- 91. Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio patrons are invited to rely on these policies, which amount to an enforceable contract, both express and implied, between Harrah's and its customers, including Plaintiff.
- 92. Throughout the latter half of 2007, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio repeatedly and continually breached this agreement by failing to cut off Plaintiff's gaming privileges, extending excessive credit to Plaintiff, and providing him with excessive intoxicants.
- 93. Plaintiff has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on his part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 94. As a direct and proximate result of these wrongful actions by Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written and Oral Contract: "Comps" and Benefits

- 95. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 94 as though fully set forth herein.
- 96. Starting in 2006, and continuing throughout 2007, Plaintiff maintained an ongoing agreement with Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, having both written and oral components, to the effect that Plaintiff would receive certain specified enticements in exchange for his ongoing gambling activity

at Harrah's casinos, including participation in "rewards credits" and/or "gift points" programs; discounts and cash back on his gambling losses; complimentary food, beverages and other hospitality-related goods and services; and other enticements and "comps," many of which were unique to Plaintiff. It was further agreed between Plaintiff and Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio that the monetary value of the aforementioned "comps," enticements and benefits would exceed those which had previously been provided to Plaintiff by the Wynn Las Vegas casino prior to his departure from the Wynn in June, 2007.

- 97. Starting at least as early as April, 2007, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio breached the agreement by unilaterally changing the way they calculated "rewards credits" and/or "gift points," with no notice to Plaintiff, such that Plaintiff's benefits thereunder were substantially less than previously agreed; by failing to fully apply Plaintiff's discounts and cash back in the negotiated amounts; and by failing to provide food, beverages and other hospitality-related goods and services to Plaintiff on a complimentary basis, as agreed; and by providing a package of "comps," enticements and benefits that failed to exceed that which had previously been provided to Plaintiff by the Wynn Las Vegas casino.
- 98. Plaintiff has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on his part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 99. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful actions of Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Breach of Written and Implied Contract: Failure to Honor Table Limits (Against Harrah's and Caesars Palace Only)

- 100. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 99 as though fully set forth herein.
- 101. Table games at Harrah's casinos have posted "table limits," which purport to be the maximum amount it is permissible to wager at any one time. By posting table limits, Harrah's effectively promises its patrons, including Watanabe, that it will not accept larger amounts, and will pay winners fairly based on the amount of the accepted wager.
- 102. On at least one occasion in late 2007, while playing table games at Caesars Palace, Watanabe unintentionally placed bets that exceeded table limits. Dealers knowingly permitted him to make such bets. If Watanabe lost, the dealer would take, for the house, the entire amount wagered, including the amount that was in excess of the table limit; but if Watanabe won, he would be paid an

amount that was commensurate only with the table limit. This happened with Harrah's full knowledge, but without any knowledge whatsoever on the part of Watanabe. In this way, Harrah's breached its agreement with Watanabe, effectively cheating him regardless of whether he won or lost any given wager.

- 103. Plaintiff has performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on his part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 104. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful actions of Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 105. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 104 as though fully set forth herein.
- 106. As detailed above, Defendants repeatedly and constantly supplied prescription drugs without a prescription or physician supervision and excessive alcohol to Plaintiff with intent to immobilize and incapacitate him and to impair his judgment, all for purposes of ensuring that he would accumulate extraordinary gambling losses at Harrah's casinos. In doing so, Defendants deliberately and unconscionably preyed upon and exacerbated Plaintiff's known vulnerabilities, including his compulsive gambling, alcoholism and drug use for purposes of Harrah's pecuniary gain.
- 107. In furtherance of its unlawful scheme to prey upon Plaintiff, and to acquire his sizable fortune for Harrah's, Defendants deliberately exploited Plaintiff's weakened and defenseless state by increasing his table limits and extending to him unprecedented and unwarranted amounts of credit for casino gambling, in amounts that were so excessive as to violate Harrah's own internal policies concerning maximum credit limits and its own and Nevada's "responsible gaming" restrictions. In carrying out this predatory lending scheme, Defendants moreover deliberately violated their duty by failing to cut off Plaintiff's gaming privileges despite his conspicuous, chronic intoxication and obviously reckless and excessive gambling activity.
- 108. These prescription drugs, excessive alcohol, and unjustifiable amounts of casino credit were provided to Plaintiff—a known compulsive gambler—in an atmosphere of domination and control over Plaintiff in which Harrah's management and employees sought to restrict his movements within the

Caesars property and confine him on the premises against his will, all in an effort to ensure that he continued to sign markers and accumulate gambling losses, regardless of his impaired mental and physical condition.

- 109. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' outrageous acts and conduct, Plaintiff sustained great financial losses, suffered severe pain and distress of mind and body, and endured great mental anguish, disturbance, embarrassment, humiliation, shame and indignity, all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 110. The acts and conduct of Defendants as alleged were wanton, willful and malicious, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

ELEVEN<u>TH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> Breach of Implied Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 111. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 110 as though fully set forth herein.
- 112. There is implied in every contract in the State of Nevada a Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing.
 - 113. By its actions described herein, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio breached this covenant.
- 114. The acts and conduct of Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio as alleged were wanton, willful and malicious, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.
- 115. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful actions of Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

TWELFTHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Civil Conspiracy

- 116. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 115 as though fully set forth herein.
- 117. Throughout 2006 and 2007, Defendants, and each of them, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed among themselves to commit the unlawful acts alleged herein.
- 118. Defendants, and each of them, did the acts and things herein alleged pursuant to, and in furtherance of, said conspiracy and agreement.
- 119. Defendants, and each of them, furthered the conspiracy by cooperating with, lending aid and encouragement to, and ratifying and adopting the acts of each other defendant.

- 120. As a direct and proximate result of the wrongful actions of Defendants, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 121. The acts and conduct of Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio as alleged were wanton, willful and malicious, so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages.

EENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Declaratory Relief

- 122. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 121 as though fully set forth herein.
- 123. Under N.R.S. 30.010 and 30.060, there is a real controversy between Plaintiff and Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio that is subject to adjudication under the Declaratory Relief Act.
- 124. Plaintiff requests that the Court adjudicate his rights, liabilities, privileges, and obligations in connection with the execution of the above-referenced credit markers; specifically, Plaintiff seeks a declaration that the above-referenced credit markers, and each of them, are invalid and/or unenforceable as a matter of law; and a declaration that Watanabe is entitled to payment and/or offsets for as-yet-uncredited comps, incentives and/or discounts from Harrah's in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 125. Plaintiff has no plain, speedy or adequate remedy in the ordinary course of law. Plaintiff will suffer irreparable injury if Declaratory Relief is not granted in that he will be deprived by Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio of his personal property to which they are not entitled.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Unjust Enrichment

- 126. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 125 as though fully set forth herein.
- 127. By and through its actions, Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio have been unjustly enriched by their acquisition and retention of Plaintiff's monies.
- 128. It is inequitable for Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio to retain funds that were procured from Plaintiff under the circumstances described herein.

FIFTENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Accounting

129. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges Paragraphs 1 through 128 as though fully set forth herein. As discussed hereinabove, particularly in connection with the Eighth Claim For Relief (Breach of

Written and Oral Contract: "Comps" and Benefits), Watanabe has been denied by Defendants the financial benefits due him pursuant to the "comps" and benefits arrangement that Harrah's specifically agreed to with Watanabe, and as an independent matter, Defendants presented markers improperly and in violation of the terms of Defendants' agreements with Watanabe.

130. In order to ascertain with particularity the amount of financial benefits that are due and owing to Watanabe and the number and amount of markers presented improperly by Defendants, Watanabe is entitled to a thorough accounting of the books and records of Defendants with respect to Watanabe's gaming activity for the years 2006 and 2007.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for:

- A. Entry of judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against all Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, on the First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Eighth, Ninth, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth and Fourteenth claims for relief, inclusive, with damages according to proof at trial for each claim.
- B. Entry of judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Harrah's and Caesars Palace, and each of them, jointly and severally, on the Ninth claim for relief, with damages according to proof at trial;
- C. An award of punitive damages in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants and each of them, jointly and severally, in such sum as is appropriate to punish Defendants, and is commensurate with their wealth;
- D. On the Second claim for relief, an award of attorneys fees pursuant to N.R.S. § 41.600(3);
- E. On the Thirteenth claim for relief, an order declaring (1) that the above-referenced markers are invalid and/or unenforceable, and (2) that Watanabe is entitled to payment and/or offsets for as-yet-uncredited comps, incentives and/or discounts from Harrah's, in an amount according to proof;
- F. On the Fourteenth claim for relief, an order requiring restitution by Harrah's, Caesars Palace and Rio of their illegally or unfairly gotten gains, in an amount according to proof, in excess of \$75,000;
- G. On the Fifteenth claim for relief, an accounting;

1	Н.	H. An order requiring payment of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and expenses incurred				
2		in this action pursuant to applicable Nevada law;				
3	I.	An award of statutory interest on all amounts otherwise awarded to Plaintiff in this				
4		action;				
5	J.	An award of costs of suit; and				
6	K.	Any and all other relief this Court may deem proper.				
7	PLAI	AINTIFF HEREBY DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL ISSUES SO TRIABLE.				
8 9			O'DONNELL & ASSOCIATES, PC PIERCE O'DONNELL (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> pendin STEVEN J. AARONOFF (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> pend	.g)		
10			TILVER J. AARONOFF (170 Hac Vice pend	inig)		
11	DATED: November 19, 2009		le Jia XI X/ valos	•		
12			For: Daniel J. Albregts	_		
13			LAW OFFICES OF DANIEL ALBREGTS DANIEL J. ALBREGTS	, LTD		
14			Attorneys for Plaintiff			
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23		•				
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	COMPLAINT		19			
	COMPLAINT CASE NO.					