~ 4

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121 and 1125(a). Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1391, and this Court may properly exercise personal jurisdiction over Defendants since Defendants target a significant portion of their business activities towards consumers in Nevada and elsewhere and intentionally cause harm to Chanel within this District through and using at least the fully interactive Internet websites operating under the Subject Domain Names.

THE PLAINTIFF

2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at Nine West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, high quality luxury goods under multiple world famous common law and Federally registered trademarks including those identified in Paragraph 11 below. Chanel operates boutiques within this Judicial District. Defendants' sales of counterfeit Chanel branded products are causing damage to Chanel within this Jurisdiction. Chanel regularly enforces its intellectual property rights and authorized that this action be brought in its name.

THE DEFENDANTS

3. The Named Defendant is an individual who, upon information and belief, resides in the People's Republic of China and who conducts business throughout the United States, including within this Judicial District through the operation of the fully interactive commercial website operating under the Subject Domain Names. Defendant Does 1-10 are, upon information and belief, individuals and/or business entities who likely reside in the Peoples Republic of China and conduct substantial business within this Judicial District through their participation in the operation of the fully interactive commercial websites operating under the Subject Domain Names. Does 1-10 are directly and personally contributing, inducing and engaging in the sale of

counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners, co-conspirators or suppliers and/or successors-

in-interest to the Named Defendant. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names of Does 1-

Defendants are the past and present moving and conscious forces behind the

Upon information and belief, Defendants also jointly own and operate or control

10. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such fictitious

operation of the commercial Internet websites operating under the Subject Domain Names.

additional Internet websites, under domain names currently unknown to Plaintiff, through which

Defendants.

4.

5.

11

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

7 8 9

6. Upon information and belief, Defendants are directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit and infringing products within this District as alleged herein. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants have conspired with each other to jointly engage in the

the Defendants engage in the wrongful activities alleged herein.

Judicial District through the fully interactive commercial websites operating under at least the

offering for sale and sale of counterfeit and infringing Chanel branded products within this

Subject Domain Names. Defendants, upon information and belief, also operate additional websites which promote and offer for sale counterfeit and infringing goods under domain names

not yet known to Plaintiff. Defendants have advertised and offered to sell their counterfeit goods

in the State of Nevada.

7. Defendants' Internet-based website businesses amount to nothing more than a massive illegal operation, infringing on the intellectual property rights of Plaintiff and others.

8. Upon information and belief, Defendants have registered, established or purchased the Subject Domain Names. Upon information and belief, Defendants have used false or misleading information for the registration of the Subject Domain Names.

9. Defendants' Subject Domain Names and any other domain names used in connection with the promotion and sale of counterfeits bearing Chanel's marks are essential components of Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities. The domain names themselves are a significant part of the means by which Defendants further their counterfeiting

Reg. No.

2
3

Mark

scheme and cause harm to Chanel. Moreover, the Defendants are using Chanel's famous name and trademarks to drive Internet consumer traffic to their websites operating under the Subject Domain Names, thereby creating and increasing the value of the Subject Domain Names.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Chanel is the owner of all rights in and to the following trademarks which are valid and registered on the Principal Register of the United States Patent and Trademark Office:

Reg. Date

	2108, 210,	1108. 2 1110
CHANEL	0,626,035	May 1, 1956
©	1,314,511	January 15, 1985
CHANEL	1,347,677	July 9, 1985
©	1,734,822	November 24, 1992
CHANEL	1,733,051	November 17, 1992
①	3,022,708	December 6, 2005
D	3,025,934	December 13, 2005
CHANEL	0,955,074	March 13, 1973
CHANEL	0,612,169	September 13, 1955
CHANEL	0,902,190	November 10, 1970
	1,501,898	August 30, 1988
CHANEL	0,915,139	June 15, 1971
©	1,241,264	June 7, 1983
CHANEL	1,241,265	June 7, 1983
3	1,271,876	March 27, 1984

	Case 2:10-cv-00304-HDM-LRL Document 1 Filed 03/04/10 Page 5 of 14							
1	X	3,025,936	Decemb	er 13, 2005				
2	CHANEL	0,906,262	January	19, 1971				
4	CHANEL	3,134,695	August 2	29, 2006				
5	which are registered in International Classes 14, 18 and 25, and are used in connection with the							
6	manufacture and distribution of high quality luxury goods (the "Chanel Marks").							
7								
8								
9								
10								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20 21								
22								
23								
24	•••							
25	•••							
	•••							

- 11. The Chanel Marks have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Chanel's high quality goods for an extended period of time.
- 12. The Chanel Marks have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants in this matter.
- 13. The Chanel Marks are symbols of Chanel's quality, reputation and goodwill and have never been abandoned.
- 14. Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money and other resources developing, advertising and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §1125(c)(1).
- 15. Chanel has extensively used, advertised and promoted the Chanel Marks in the United States in association with the sale of high quality luxury goods and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks.
- 16. As a result of Chanel's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks, as being high quality luxury goods sponsored and approved by Chanel.
- 17. Accordingly, the Chanel Marks have achieved secondary meaning as identifiers of high quality luxury goods.
- 18. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, Defendants in this action have had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks, including its exclusive right to use and license such intellectual property and the goodwill associated therewith.
- 19. Chanel has discovered Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale counterfeit products, including at least handbags, wallets, shoes, boots, gloves, outerwear, including coats and jackets, clothing, including skirts, dresses, and shirts, keychains, swimwear, and costume jewelry including, but not limited to bracelets, necklaces and earrings bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, Defendants are using the Chanel Marks in the same stylized fashion, for different quality goods.

- 3
- 5
- 7
- 9
- 10
- 13
- 15

- 20
- 23
- 24 25

- Upon information and belief, Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality 20. substantially different than that of Chanel's genuine goods. Despite the nature of their Counterfeit Goods and the knowledge they are without authority to do so, Defendants, upon information and belief, are actively using, promoting and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality products offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of Defendants' actions will be to result in the confusion of the relevant trade and consumers, both at the time of sale and in a post sale setting, who will believe Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from, associated with, and approved by Chanel.
- Defendants advertise their Counterfeit Goods for sale to the consuming public. In 21. so advertising these products, Defendants improperly and unlawfully use the Chanel Marks. Indeed, Defendants herein misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and entire style of doing business with regard to the advertisement and sale of Chanel's genuine products. Upon information and belief, the misappropriation of Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of the Chanel Marks has occurred, in part, in the course of Defendants' advertising activities and has been the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting and infringing activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. As a result, Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel does not simply amount to the wrong description of their goods or the failure of the goods to conform to the advertised quality or performance.
- Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks, including the promotion and advertisement, 23. reproduction, distribution, sale and offering for sale of their Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.

6

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- Further, Defendants are engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting and 24. infringing activities knowingly and intentionally or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Chanel's rights for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel.
- 25. Defendants' above-identified infringing activities are likely to cause confusion, deception and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public and the trade. Moreover, Defendants' wrongful use of the Chanel Marks is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public and the trade into believing there is a connection or association between Chanel and Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.
 - 26. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.
- 27. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and damages as a result of Defendants' unauthorized and wrongful use of the Chanel Marks. If Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be harmed.
- 28. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel have been directly and proximately caused by Defendants' wrongful reproduction, use, advertisement, promotion, offering to sell, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.
- 29. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay said counsel a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I - TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

- 30. Chanel hereby readopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
- 31. This is an action for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against Defendants based on their use of counterfeits of the Chanel Marks in commerce in connection with the promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods.
- 32. Specifically, Defendants are promoting and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale and distributing counterfeit and infringing handbags, wallets, shoes, boots, gloves, outerwear, including coats and jackets, clothing, including skirts, dresses, and shirts, keychains,

swimwear, and costume jewelry including, but not limited to bracelets, necklaces and earrings
bearing the Chanel Marks. Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to
infringe the Chanel Marks by using them to advertise, promote and sell at least counterfeit
handbags, wallets, shoes, boots, gloves, outerwear, including coats and jackets, clothing,
including skirts, dresses, and shirts, keychains, swimwear, and costume jewelry including, but no
limited to bracelets, necklaces and earrings.

- 33. Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities are likely to cause and, upon information and belief, actually are causing confusion, mistake and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.
- 34. Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.
- 35. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.
- 36. Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above described activities of Defendants if Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

COUNT II - FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT

- 37. Chanel hereby readopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
- 38. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing and sold under the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.
- 39. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing and sold under the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to each of Chanel's respective genuine goods. However, the Counterfeit Goods are different in quality. Accordingly, Defendants' activities are likely to cause

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24 25

confusion in the trade and among the general public as to at least the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.

- Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sale 40. of Counterfeit Goods, false designations of origins and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and trade dress which tend to falsely describe or represent such goods and have caused such goods to enter into commerce with full knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to the detriment of Chanel.
- 41. Specifically, Defendants have authorized an infringing use of the Chanel Marks, in Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing handbags, wallets, shoes, boots, gloves, outerwear, including coats and jackets, clothing, including skirts, dresses, and shirts, keychains, swimwear, and costume jewelry including, but not limited to bracelets, necklaces and earrings. Defendants have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing products.
- 42. Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- Chanel has sustained injury and damage caused by Defendants' conduct, and 43. absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, Chanel will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

COUNT III - CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR CYBERPIRACY UNDER §43(d) OF THE LANHAM ACTION (15 U.S.C. §1125(d)

- 44. Chanel hereby readopts and re-alleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 28 above.
- 45. At all times relevant hereto, Chanel has been and still is the owner of the rights, title and interest in and to the Chanel Marks.
- Upon information and belief, Defendants have acted with the bad faith intent to 46. profit from the Chanel Marks and the goodwill associated with the Chanel Marks by registering

dilutive of the Chanel Marks.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

47. Defendants have no intellectual property rights in or to the Chanel Marks.

domain names, including at least chanel-vip.com, which is identical or confusingly similar to or

- 48. Defendants' actions constitute cyberpiracy in violation of §43(d) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §1125(d).
- 49. Defendants' conduct is done with knowledge and constitutes a willful violation of Chanel's rights in the Marks. At a minimum, Defendants' conduct is in reckless disregard of Chanel's rights or demonstrates willful blindness to Chanel's rights.
- The aforesaid conduct is causing Chanel immediate and irreparable injury. Chanel 50. has no adequate remedy at law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- WHEREFORE, Chanel demands judgment on all Counts of this Complaint and an 51. award of equitable relief and monetary relief, jointly and severally, against Defendants as follows:
- Entry of preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their a. agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing, advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks, or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name or trademark or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with Chanel; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with Chanel, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to falsely cause members of the trade and/or of the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of Defendants, or in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with Chanel; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by Defendants, including,

without limitation, handbags, wallets, shoes, boots, gloves, outerwear, including coats and jackets, clothing, including skirts, dresses, and shirts, keychains, swimwear, and costume jewelry including, but not limited to bracelets, necklaces and earrings; from affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the sale of any goods, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to falsely describe or represent Defendants' goods as being those of Chanel, or in any way endorsed by Chanel and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise unfairly competing with Chanel.

- b. Enter an Order that, upon Chanel's request, those in privity with Defendants, and those with notice of the injunction, including any Internet search engines, Web hosts, domain-name registrars and domain-name registries that are provided with notice of the injunction, cease facilitating access to any or all websites through which Defendants engage in the sale of counterfeit and infringing goods using the Chanel Marks.
- c. Enter an Order that, upon Chanel's request, the top level domain (TLD) Registry for the Subject Domain Names place the Subject Domain Names on Registry Hold status, thus removing them from the TLD zone files maintained by the Registry which link the Subject Domain Name to the IP address where the associated website is hosted.
- d. Enter an order canceling or, at Chanel's election, transferring the Subject Domain Names and any other domain names used by the Defendants to engage in their counterfeiting of the Chanel Marks at issue to Chanel's control.
- e. Enter an order requiring Defendants to account to and pay Chanel for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' trademark infringing and counterfeiting activities and that the award to Chanel be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. §1117, or, at Chanel's election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each Defendants in the amount of two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per each counterfeit Chanel Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. §1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.
- f. Defendants be required to account to and pay Chanel for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' cyberpiracy activities and that the award to Chanel be

	Case 2:10-cv-00304-HDM-LRL Document 1 Filed 03/04/10 Page 14 of 14	
1		
2		
3		
4	SCHEDULE "A" – SUBJECT DOMAIN NAMES	
5	chanel-vip.com	
6	Chanel-vip.com	
7	felums.com	
8	felumshop.com	
9	Teramono piedni	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
1516		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		