

Ca	se 2:08-cr-00064-RLH-GWF Documen	t 38	Filed 06/18/2008 Page 2 of 51
1 2 3 4 5 6	GREGORY A. BROWER United States Attorney BRIAN PUGH Assistant United States Attorney 333 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 UNITED STATE	S D	FILEDRECEIVED ENTEREDSERVED ON COUNSEL/PARTIES OF RECORD JUN 1 8 2008 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA ISTBRICT COURT DEPUTY
7 ¹			F NEVADA
8.		-000	
•. 9			
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT
11	Plaintiff,)	2:08-cr-0064-RLH-GWF
12	VS.)	VIOLATIONS:
13 14 15	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and))))	18 U.S.C. § 1349 - Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Wire Fraud 18 U.S.C. § 1344 - Bank Fraud 18 U.S.C. §2 - Aiding and Abetting 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h) - Conspiracy to Commit Manuel Augustan
16 17 18	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, a/k/a JOE PANIKKAR, Defendants.))	Money Laundering 18 U.S.C. § 1957 - Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity 26 U.S.C. § 7206(2) - Aid and Assist with Fraud and False Statements
19	THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:		
20	INTI	RODUC	CTION
21	At all times relevant to this Indictm	ent:	
22	1. Defendant STEVEN W. GI	RIMM	was resident agent, president, secretary,
23	treasurer, and director of Pro Design, Incorp.	orated ("Pro Design"), Premier Design Concepts, Inc.
24	("Premier Design"), and R. E. Property Solu		
25			A was resident agent, president, secretary,
26	treasurer, and director of Distinctive Real Es	state &	Investments ("DREI"), a Nevada Corporation.

1	3.	Defendant EVE E. MAZZARELLA was a signor on the bank account of Premier
2	Design.	
3	4.	The defendant STEVEN W. GRIMM was resident agent and manager of the
4	following Limit	ted-Liability Companies registered with the Nevada Secretary of State:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ABROWN, LLG ANTIGREEN, BINGRAM, LL CHOOVER, LL CROJAS, LLC CTHOMPSON DRJAC, LLC IDEAN, LLC JGARVEY, LL JGRIMM, LLC KJAMES, LLC LOQUINN, LL PALTMANN, J RKSAM, LLC SCOMER, LLC	LLC BFAGIN, LLC C BLABEE, LLC CLOUCEL, LLC CSHEETS, LLC DMARK, LLC DSHEETS, LLC JELDRED, LLC JGREEN, LLC KBROWN, LLC KTINAGLIA, LLC C MTHOM, LLC LLC RFAGIN, LLC SALLIUZZA, LLC C TLIUZZA, LLC
13	TMCGUIRE, L VSQUILLANT 5.	
15 16:		anies registered with the Nevada Secretary of State:
17 18 19 20	CGARVEY, LI DWALLACE, JSTEVENS, LI MWINOWSKI RBEUCHAT, I TVANCLEVE, WPATTERSO	LLC JCORTES, LLC LC JWINSLOW, LLC LLC PILDE, LLC LLC SSTEP, LLC , LLC TVANOOSTENDORP, LLC
21	6.	The defendant STEVEN W. GRIMM was resident agent of the following Limited-
22	Liability Comp	any registered with the Nevada Secretary of State:
23 24	KLARES, LLC RID, LLC	LEDIAZ, LLC Select Equities, LLC
25	7.	Select Equities, LLC was resident agent and manager of the following Limited-
26	Liability Comp	banies registered with the Nevada Secretary of State:
		2

Select Equities Investments I, LLC Select Equities Investments II, LLC 1 Select Equities Investments IV, LLC Select Equities Investments III, LLC Select Equities Investments VI, LLC 2 Select Equities Investments V, LLC Select Equities Investments VIII, LLC Select Equities Investments VII, LLC Select Equities Investments X, LLC Select Equities Investments IX, LLC 31 Select Equities Investments XII, LLC Select Equities Investments XI, LLC Select Equities Investments XIV, LLC Select Equities Investments XIII, LLC 4 Select Equities Investments XVI, LLC Select Equities Investments XV, LLC 5 Select Equities Investments XVII, LLC Defendants STEVEN W. GRIMM and EVE E. MAZZARELLA had joint 8. 6 signatory authority over the following bank accounts at Colonial Bank: 7

8.	Account Holder	Account Number
	2412 Broadway Ave E., LLC	XXXXXX8786
9	ANTIGREEN, LLC	XXXXXX9968
	BFAGIN, LLC	XXXXXX9984
10	BINGRAM, LLC	XXXXXX8158
	CHOOVER, LLC	XXXXXX4681
11	COQUINN, LLC	XXXXXX5407
	CTHOMPSON, LLC	XXXXXX7592
12	DMARK, LLC	XXXXXX9016
	DRJAC, LLC	XXXXXX3293
13 -	JELDRÉD, LLC	XXXXXX3301
1	JGREEN, LLC	XXXXXX8604
14	JGRIMM, LLC	XXXXXX4848
	KBROWN, LLC	XXXXXX8869
15'	KJAMES, LLC	XXXXXX8596
	KLARES, LLC	XXXXXX3194
16	KTINGLIA, LLC	XXXXXX8612
	LEDIAZ, LLC	XXXXXX7469
17	LOQUINN, LLC	XXXXXX7261
	MTHOM, LLC	XXXXXX3335
18	RFAGIN, LLC	XXXXXX9992
. !	RID, LLC	XXXXXX3343
19	RKSAM, LLC	XXXXXX3178
Ľ	SALLIUZZA, LLC	XXXXXX4855
20	SDKLA, LLC	XXXXXX3160
	Select Equities Investments XI, LLC	XXXXXX2824
21	Select Equities Investments XV, LLC	XXXXXX2857
	Select Equities LLC Investments XVI, LLC	XXXXXX2865
22	SSTEP, LLC	XXXXXX3327
	STLIUZZA, LLC	XXXXXX7584
23	VAMINOR, LLC	XXXXXX8620
	WPDAVENPORT, LLC	XXXXXX5555
24		
25	9. Defendant STEVEN W. GRI	[MM had sole signatory authority over Bank of America

26 account number XXXXXX4471 in the name of Pro Design.

10. Defendant STEVEN W. GRIMM had sole signatory authority over the following

2 bank accounts at Colonial Bank:

3	Account Holder	Account Number
	ADAVENPORT, LLC	XXXXXX8174
4	BLABEE, LLC	XXXXXX8794
. [.	CGARVEY, LLC	XXXXXX9810
5	DWALLACE, LLC	XXXXXX9828
-	IDEAN, LLC	XXXXXX8182
6	JBARNES, LLC	XXXXXX9885
Ť	JCORTES, LLC	XXXXXX9802
7	JGARVEY, LLC	XXXXXX8844
	JSTEVENS, LLC	XXXXXX9901
8	JWINSLOW, LLC	XXXXXX9844
	MWINOWSKI, LLC	XXXXXX9869
9	Patriot Grading & Utilities, LLC	XXXXXX9927
-	Patriot Transport, LLC	XXXXXX4077
10 [:] :	PILDE, LLC	XXXXXX8141
10	RBEUCHAT, LLC	XXXXXX9794
11	RGARVEY, LLC	XXXXXX9851
	SCOMER, LLC	XXXXXX9032
12		XXXXXX5035
ŀ	Steven Grimm	XXXXXX1628
13	TLIUZZA, LLC	XXXXXX8190
	TMCGUIRE, LLC	XXXXXX8851
14	TVANCLEVE, LLC	XXXXXX9935
• •	TVANOOSTENDORP, LLC	XXXXXX9836
15	VSQUILLANTE, LLC	XXXXXX9024
	WPATTERSON, LLC	XXXXXX9893
16		

16

 $1^{!}$

17

- 11. Defendant EVE E. MAZZARELLA had signatory authority over the following bank
- 18. accounts:

19	Account Holder	<u>Bank</u>	Account Number
	ABROWN, LLC	Colonial Bank	XXXXXX4119
20	CLOUCEL, LLC	Colonial Bank	XXXXXX4127
	CROJAS, LLC	Colonial Bank	XXXXXX4143
21	Distinctive Real Estate & Investments	First Republic Bank	XXXXXXXI312
	Distinctive Real Estate & Investments	First Republic Bank	XXXXXXX5144
22	Distinctive Real Estate & Investments, Inc.	Washington Mutual	XXXXX6267
	Distinctive Real Estate & Investments, Inc.	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX9111
23	Distinctive Real Estate & Investments, Inc.	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX9680
	Eve E.Mazzarella	Bank of America	XXXXXX3017
24	Eve E Mazzarella	First Republic Bank	XXXXXXX5436
	Eve E.Mazzarella	Bank of America	XXXXXX7670
25		Washington Mutual	XXXXXX8778
-	Eve E.Mazzarella	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX8970
26	Giovanni K Mazzarella - A Minor by Eve E Mazzarella	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX8487
25	Eve E Mazzarella Eve E.Mazzarella Eve E.Mazzarella Eve E.Mazzarella	First Republic Bank Bank of America Washington Mutual Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX7670 XXXXX8778 XXXXX8970

1	PALTMANN, LLC Premier Design Concepts,Inc.	Colonial Bank Bank of America	XXXXXX4135 XXXXXX4578
2	Select Equities, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0544
-	Select Equities, LLC	Washington Mutual	XXXXXX6472
3	Select Equities Investments III, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0569
	Select Equities Investments III, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0577
4	Select Equities Investments IV, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0585
	Select Equities Investments V, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0593
5	Select Equities Investments VI, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX0601
	Select Equities Investments VII, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXXX0619
68	Select Equities Investments VIII, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXXX0627
	Select Equities Investments IX, LLC	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXXX0536
7	Stephen Lindsay - A Minor By Eve E Mazzarella	Wells Fargo Bank	XXXXXX1600
8 9	12. Defendant MELISSA R. BEECRO Mortgage Services, LLC ("Secured Mortgage"), a L		
9 10			
9	Mortgage Services, LLC ("Secured Mortgage"), a I	imited Liability Compar.	y registered with the
9 10	Mortgage Services, LLC ("Secured Mortgage"), a L Nevada Secretary of State.	Limited Liability Compar	ny registered with the tand manager of CRT
9 10 11 12 13	Mortgage Services, LLC ("Secured Mortgage"), a L Nevada Secretary of State. 13. Defendant CHRISTINA R. THOM	Limited Liability Compar I PSON was resident agent stered with the Nevada Se	by registered with the t and manager of CRT ecretary of State.
9 10 11 12	Mortgage Services, LLC ("Secured Mortgage"), a L Nevada Secretary of State. 13. Defendant CHRISTINA R. THOM Consulting, LLC, a Limited Liability Company regis	Limited Liability Compar (PSON was resident agen) stered with the Nevada Se was managing member o he Nevada Secretary of S	t and manager of CRT ecretary of State. f Integrity Mortgage, tate.

16 Treasurer of Reliant Mortgage Corporation a domestic corporation registered with the Nevada17 Secretary of State.

18 16. A "straw buyer" is an individual who allows residential real estate ("property" or
19 "properties") to be purchased in his or her name to facilitate the acquisition of that property by an
20 unidentified party.

17. A "third party disbursement" is the issuance of money at the closing of a mortgage loan to a person or entity that is typically not entitled to money at the close of the transaction as are the seller, buyer, or any of the business entities that contributed to the creation or conducting of the transaction and similar entities such as realtors, mortgage companies, lenders, mortgage brokers, title and escrow companies, etc.

26 . . .

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Ca	se 2:08-cr-00064-RLH-GWF Document 38 Filed 06/18/2008 Page 7 of 51
Ŀ	
:	COUNTONE
1	COUNT ONE Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Wire Fraud
2	
3	1. Paragraphs 1 through 17 of the introduction to this Indictment are re-alleged and
4	incorporated herein as if set forth in full.
5	2. From in or about 2003 to on or about March 12, 2008, in the State and Federal
6	District of Nevada and elsewhere,
7	
8	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
9	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
10	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
11;	defendants herein, knowingly and willfully combined, conspired, and agreed with each other, and with
12	others known and unknown to the grand jury:
13	a. To devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property
14	under the custody and control of federally-insured financial institutions, by means of false and
15	fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises that would cause a bank to part with money or
16	property in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344.
17	b. To use the United States Postal Service and commercial interstate carriers to
18	send and deliver mortgage loan applications and other supporting documentation, for purposes of
19	executing a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false
20	and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
21	Section 1341; and
22	c. To transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire communications
23	in interstate commerce, funds, for purposes of executing a scheme and artifice to defraud and for
24 ¹	obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and
25	promises, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.
26	

. . . .

į.

1 The Objectives of the Conspiracy and Scheme to Defraud 2 3. The object of the conspiracy and scheme was to obtain money and property by causing false information regarding straw buyers' employment, income, and assets to be placed in the 31 4 straw buyers' applications and supporting documentation to obtain mortgage loans from financial 5 institutions to purchase residential real estate ("property" or "properties"). Through these transactions, defendants obtained control of approximately 227 properties and obtained money from 6 7|financial institutions by causing the money from the mortgage loans to be diverted to their own use and benefit and the use and benefit of others. The total purchase price of the approximate 227 8 9 properties was greater than \$107,000,000. Manner and Means 10 11 The manner and means by which the objectives of the conspiracy were accomplished 4. 12 include, but were not limited to, the following: 13:: It was part of the conspiracy that defendants solicited persons and caused a. persons with good credit ratings to be solicited to act as straw buyers to purchase properties. 14 15 b. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants caused straw buyers to 16 make offers to purchase properties substantially above the sellers' asking prices. Defendants caused the sellers to agree that the excess funds be redirected to business entities controlled by **GRIMM** and 17 MAZZARELLA under the pretense of making upgrades or repairs to the properties. 18 19 c. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants caused straw buyers to 20 apply for mortgage loans from financial institutions to purchase properties. 21 It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants knowingly placed and d. 22 caused to be placed on the straw buyers loan applications and supporting documentation, materially false information regarding straw buyers' places of employment, income, assets, and intention to 23 24 occupy the properties as their primary residences which information defendants then and there well 25 knew to be false. 26 . .

1 It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants caused financial e. 2 institutions to transmit through interstate wire communications money to fund the mortgage loans. 3 f. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants caused title and escrow companies to make third party disbursements to entities which were controlled by defendants 4 GRIMM and MAZZARELLA and are identified in the introduction hereto, for defendants 5 6 GRIMM's and MAZZARELLA's own use and benefit. 7 It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants GRIMM and g. MAZZARELLA caused mortgage brokers, loan officers and others associated with the straw buyer 8 transactions to remit a portion of their commissions and fees to defendants GRIMM and 9 10 MAZZARELLA. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants paid persons to be straw 11 h. 12 buyers. i. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants created numerous limited 13 liability companies ("LLC" or "LLC's") identified in the introduction hereto. Defendants named the 14 LLC's after straw buyers, typically using the straw buyers first initial and last name. 15 It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants caused straw buyers to 16: i. sign documents transferring their interest in the properties they had purchased into one of the LLC's. 17 Defendants would not pay straw buyers for the use of their identities until they transferred their 18 19 interest in a property to an LLC. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants opened bank accounts 20 k. for each LLC. These bank accounts are identified in the introduction hereto. 21 It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants GRIMM and 22 1. MAZZARELLA used the LLC bank accounts to control the illicit proceeds of the mortgage 23 24 transactions. 25 It was further part of the conspiracy that once defendants GRIMM and m. MAZZARELLA obtained control over a property through a straw buyer transaction, defendants 26

1	would again sell the same property to another straw buyer at an inflated price. Defendants thereby
2	engaged in approximately 432 straw buyer transactions involving the approximate 227 properties.
3	n. It was further part of the conspiracy that defendants GRIMM and
4	MAZZARELLA defaulted on mortgage payments on many of the mortgage loans which caused the
5	related properties to go into foreclosure. At least 143 of the approximate 227 properties purchased
6	by defendants have been sold in foreclosure causing losses to financial institutions greater than
7	seventeen million dollars (\$17,000,000) to the date of this superseding indictment.
8	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.
9	COUNTS TWO THROUGH FOURTEEN Bank Fraud
10	Dank Maud
11	1. The allegations set forth in the introduction and count one to this Indictment are re-
12	alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
13	2. From in or about 2003, to on or about March 12, 2008, in the State and Federal
14	District of Nevada,
15	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
16	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
17	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
18	JIOIMI AMKKAK,
19	defendants herein, did devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain
20	money and property under the custody and control of federally-insured financial institutions, by
21	means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises that would cause a bank to part
22	with money or property.
23	The Scheme and Artifice
24	3. It was part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused straw buyers to purchase
25	properties on their behalf.
26	

4. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused offers to purchase
 properties to be made substantially above the sellers' asking prices. GRIMM and MAZZARELLA
 caused the sellers to agree that the excess funds be redirected to one of their business entities under
 the pretense of making upgrades or repairs to the properties.

- 5 5. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused straw buyers to 6 apply for mortgage loans from financial institutions to purchase properties. Through these 7 transactions, defendants obtained money from financial institutions by causing money from the 8ⁱⁱ mortgage loans to be diverted to defendants' own use and benefit.
- 9 6. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants knowingly placed and 10 caused to be placed on the straw buyers' loan applications and supporting documentation, materially 11 false information regarding straw buyers' places of employment, income, assets, and intention to 12 occupy the properties as their primary residences which information defendants then and there well 13 knew to be false.
- 14 7. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants paid persons to be straw15 buyers.
- 8. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused federally-insured
 financial institutions to transmit money to title and escrow companies to fund the mortgage loans to
 complete the straw buyer transactions.
- It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused title and escrow
 companies to disperse a portion of the loan money from the straw buyer transactions to companies
 controlled by defendants GRIMM and MAZZARELLA .
- 10. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants created numerous
 limited liability companies ("LLC" or "LLC's"). Defendants named most of the LLC's after straw
 buyers, typically using the straw buyer's first initial and last name.
- 11. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants caused straw buyers to
 sign over their interests in the properties they purchased to one of the LLC's. Defendants would not

pay straw buyers for the use of their identities until they transferred their interests in a property to an
 LLC.

3 12. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants opened bank accounts4 for each of the LLC's.

5 13. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants used the LLC bank
6 accounts to control the illicit proceeds of the mortgage transactions.

7¹ 14. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that once defendants obtained control
8 over a property through a straw buyer transaction and transfer to an LLC, defendants would again sell
9 the same property from an LLC to another straw buyer at an inflated price.

10 15. It was further part of the scheme and artifice that defendants defaulted on mortgage
payments on many of the mortgage loans which caused the related properties to go into foreclosure.

12

Bank Fraud

13 16. On or about the dates identified below, in the Federal District of Nevada, the 14 defendants, for the purpose of executing and attempting to execute the above-described scheme and 15 artifice, did knowingly cause to be submitted to the federally-insured financial institutions identified 16 below, loan applications containing false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises in 17 order to cause the financial institutions to loan money to fund the purchase of the properties identified 18 below, with the submission of each loan application and supporting documentation constituting a 19 separate violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1344 and 2:

20	<u>Count</u>	<u>Defendants</u>	Date_	Property	Financial Institution
21 22	2	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	12/26/06	1408 S. 6 th St. Las Vegas, Nevada	Ohio Savings Bank
22 23:	3	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	3/28/07	6609 Alta Dr. Las Vegas, Nevada	Ohio Savings Bank
24 25	4	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	4/9/07	2701 Shady Pond Las Vegas, Nevada	Ohio Savings Bank
26	5	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	4/12/07	1800 S. 16 th St. Las Vegas, Nevada	Ohio Savings Bank

1	<u>Count</u>	<u>Defendants</u>	Date	Property	Financial Institution
2	6	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	4/25/07	3228 Dusty Daylight Ct. Henderson, Nevada	Am Trust Bank
3 4	7	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella	6/15/07	1729 Rolling Hills Dr., Las Vegas, Nevada	Am Trust Bank
5 6	8	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft	8/21/06	1311 5 th Place Las Vegas, Nevada	BNC Mortgage, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers
7' 8 9	9	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft Christina R. Thompson	3/29/05	6310 W. Azure Dr. Las Vegas, Nevada	BNC Mortgage, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers
9 10 11	10	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft Christina R. Thompson	9/13/05	9360 Red Rose Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada	BNC Mortgage, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers
12 13 14	11	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft Christina R. Thompson Amy R. Ortiz	2/13/04	9570 Rosada Way Las Vegas, Nevada	WMC Mortgage Corp., a subsidiary of G.E. Money Bank
15 16	12	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft Amy R. Ortiz	6/24/05	8512 Summer Vista Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada	BNC Mortgage, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers
17 18	13	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Amy R. Ortiz	3/31/06	6004 Chessington Ave. Las Vegas, Nevada	Greenpoint Mortgage, a subsidiary of Capital One
19 20 21	14	Steven W. Grimm Eve E. Mazzarella Melissa R. Beecroft Jyothi Panikkar	11/15/05	1752 Clear River Falls Ln. Las Vegas, Nevada	BNC Mortgage, Inc., a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers
22		Com		NT FIFTEEN	
23		Cons	spiracy to Co	ommit Money Laundering	
24		1. The allegations s	et forth in th	e introduction and counts one a	and two to this Indictment
25	are re-al	lleged and incorporated b	y reference a	as though fully set forth herein	1.
26					
				12	

- 2. From in or about 2003, to on or about March 12, 2008, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere,
 - STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE. E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,

defendants herein, did knowingly combine, conspire, confederate, and agree with each other, and with
others to known and unknown to the grand jury to commit the following offenses:

9 a. To conduct financial transactions, in and affecting interstate and foreign 10 commerce, involving the proceeds of specified unlawful activities, to wit: bank fraud, mail fraud, and 11 wire fraud, with the intent to promote the carrying on of such specified unlawful activities, and 12 knowing that the property involved in the transactions represented the proceeds of some form of 13 unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(A)(i); and

b. To conduct financial transactions, in and affecting interstate and foreign commerce, involving the proceeds of specified unlawful activities, to wit: bank fraud, mail fraud, and wire fraud, knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds of such specified unlawful activities, and knowing that the property involved in the transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i);

c. To knowingly engage in monetary transactions, that is the deposit, withdrawal
and transfer of funds and monetary instruments by, through and to a financial institution, in and
affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value greater than \$10,000, such
property having been derived from specified unlawful activities, to wit: bank fraud, mail fraud, and
wire fraud, knowing such transactions involved criminally derived property, in violation of Title 18,
United States Codes, Section 1957.

26

 $\frac{1}{1}$

3

4

5

Objects, Manner and Means of the Conspiracy

3. The objects of the money laundering conspiracy were for defendants GRIMM and
 MAZZARELLA to obtain money from the straw buyer mortgage loan transactions and to conceal
 receipt of those monies.

4. One of the manner and means by which defendant **GRIMM** obtained money from the straw buyer transactions was that defendant **GRIMM** caused loan officers and mortgage brokers to agree that if defendant **GRIMM** would send his straw buyers to those individuals to obtain their mortgage loans, they would return a percentage of their commissions and fees to defendant **GRIMM** in the form of kickbacks. Defendants **BEECROFT**, **THOMPSON**, **ORTIZ** and **PANIKKAR** agreed with defendant **GRIMM** that they would return a percentage of their commissions and fees from defendant **GRIMM** is straw buyers' transactions.

12 5. Defendants **BEECROFT**, **THOMPSON**, **ORTIZ** and **PANIKKAR** used other 13 individuals to receive commission checks from the straw buyer transactions. These individuals cashed 14 the commission checks, kept a portion for themselves and either turned over the remainder to 15 defendant **GRIMM**, or returned it to the co-conspirator who gave them the check who in turn gave the 16 remainder to defendant **GRIMM**.

Another manner in which defendants GRIMM and MAZZARELLA received monies
from the straw buyer transactions was to cause third-party disbursements to be made to shell
companies under the control of defendants GRIMM and MAZZARELLA.

7. After defendants GRIMM and MAZZARELLA caused money to be disbursed from
closing to business entities they controlled, they moved money between multiple accounts, but would
ultimately deposit the money into their personal bank accounts. These transactions were often greater
than \$10,000.

24

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h).

25 . 26 . Į,

.

1	<u>COUNT SIXTEEN</u> Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity
3	1. The allegations set forth in the introduction and counts one through three to this
4	Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
5	2. On or about January 9, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada,
6	EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
7	defendant herein, did knowingly engage and attempt to engage in monetary transactions by, through,
8	and to a financial institution, affecting interstate commerce, in criminally derived property of a value
9	greater than \$10,000, that is, a \$160,000 deposit, such property having been derived from a specified
10	unlawful activity, that is, Mail Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341; Wire
11	Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343; and Bank Fraud, in violation of Title
12	18, United States Code, Section 1344, knowing such transaction involved criminally derived property,
13	all in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957.
14	
14 15	COUNT SEVENTEEN Aid and Assist with Fraud and False Statements
	COUNT SEVENTEEN Aid and Assist with Fraud and False Statements From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal
15 16 17	
15 16	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal
15 16 17	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere,
15 16 17 18	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ,
15 16 17 18 19	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation
15 16 17 18 19 20	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of Forms 1099-Misc. for the calendar year 2006,
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of Forms 1099-Misc. for the calendar year 2006, which were false and fraudulent as to material matters, to wit: defendant filed two Forms 1099-Misc. that falsely attributed \$122,285 in income to two individuals to whom defendant had not paid that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of Forms 1099-Misc. for the calendar year 2006, which were false and fraudulent as to material matters, to wit: defendant filed two Forms 1099-Misc. that falsely attributed \$122,285 in income to two individuals to whom defendant had not paid that
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of Forms 1099-Misc. for the calendar year 2006, which were false and fraudulent as to material matters, to wit: defendant filed two Forms 1099-Misc. that falsely attributed \$122,285 in income to two individuals to whom defendant had not paid that
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24 25 	From on or about January 26, 2007, to on or about June 15, 2007, in the State and Federal District of Nevada and elsewhere, AMY R. ORTIZ, the defendant herein, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of Forms 1099-Misc. for the calendar year 2006, which were false and fraudulent as to material matters, to wit: defendant filed two Forms 1099-Misc. that falsely attributed \$122,285 in income to two individuals to whom defendant had not paid that

1 income to conceal from the Internal Revenue Service that defendant had paid \$125,243.58 to

2 MELISSA BEECROFT, all in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

3 . . . 4 . . . 5 . . . 6 . 7 8 9 10 11 . 12 . . . 13 . 14 15 . 16 . . 17 . . 18 . 19 . 20 . . 21 22 23 24 . 25 . 26 • •

 $\mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{i}}$

.

1	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Wire Fraud
3	1. The allegations of Count One of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
4	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
5	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
6	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count One of this Indictment,
7	STEVEN W. GRIMM,
8 9	EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
10	1. C. J. J. J. J. C. C. J. J. T. J. 104 (C. C. A. J. J. S. C. A. J. J. C. A. J. J. C. C. A. J. C. C. A. J. J. C. C. A. J. C. C. J. C. C. A. J. J. C. C. A. J. C. A. J. J. C. C. A. J. J. C. C
11	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
12	from, proceeds traceable to a conspiracy in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349 to
13'	commit violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341; Title 18, United States Code, Section
14	1343; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$107,000,000.00 in United States Currency.
15	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
16	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
17	omission of the defendants –
18	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
19	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
20 ₁	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
21	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
22	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
23	difficulty;
24	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
25 ¹¹	to \$107,000,000 in United States Currency.
26	
	17

. '

1		
1	All pu	rsuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
2	Code, Section 2	2461(c) and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
3		FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, Mail Fraud, and Wire Fraud
5	1.	The allegations of Count One of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
6	herein by refere	ence for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
7	States Code, Se	ection 982(a)(2)(A).
8	2.	Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count One of this Indictment,
9 10		STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
11		AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
12		JIOINI FANIKKAR,
13	defendants here	in, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
14	from, proceeds	obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a conspiracy in violation of Title 18,
15	United States C	ode, Section 1349 to commit violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341;
16	Title 18, United	d States Code, Section 1343; and Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to
17	\$107,000,000.0	0 in United States Currency.
18	3.	If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
20		a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
21		b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
22		c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
23		d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
24		e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
25		difficulty;
26	• • •	

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$107,000,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b) and Title 21,
4	United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THREE Bank Fraud
6	
7	1. The allegations of Count Two of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Two of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
ac.	
26	difficulty;

	and the second
1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants
2	up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FOUR
7	Bank Fraud
8	1. The allegations of Count Two of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Two of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
14	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and
	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
15 16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	
16 17	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
16 17 18 19	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
16 17 18	 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
16 17 18 19 20	 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
16 17 18 19 20 21	 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22	 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

•

, i

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants
2	up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FIVE
6	Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Three of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Three of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	21

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants
2.	up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency.
31;	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5:	Section 853(p).
6 7	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION SIX Bank Fraud
8	1. The allegations of Count Three of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Three of this Indictment,
12 13	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
15 16	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15 16 17	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
15 16 17 18	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
15 16 17 18 19	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
15 16 17 18 19 20	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JYOTHI PANIKKAR, defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency. 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants
2	up to \$268,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION SEVEN Bank Fraud
6.	
7	1. The allegations of Count Four of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Four of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$510,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants -
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
:	23

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$510,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION EIGHT Bank Fraud
7	The second s
8	1. The allegations of Count Four of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Four of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$510,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20 ^{°°}	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21 ¹¹	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	24

-	
1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants
2	up to \$510,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4. ¹	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	<u>FORFEITURE ALLEGATION NINE</u> Bank Fraud
6.	
7	1. The allegations of Count Five of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Five of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and
14	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	25

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TEN Bank Fraud
7	The second s
8	1. The allegations of Count Five of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Five of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY D. ODTIZ. and
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	the second state of the se
- i	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23.	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24 ::	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25:	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
1	
	26
; .	
i į	

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ELEVEN
6	Bank Fraud
7 ₁	1. The allegations of Count Six of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Six of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and
14	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17 [:]	to commit such an offense up to \$520,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	27

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$520,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWELVE Bank Fraud
7	
8	1. The allegations of Count Six of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Six of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	JIOIMIANIKKAK,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$520,000.00 in United States Currency.
19 ¹¹	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$520,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THIRTEEN
6	Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Seven of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Seven of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	JIOIIIII AMARAA,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$295,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25 ¹	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	29
:. -	

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$295,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FOURTEEN Bank Fraud
7	Dank I laud
8	1. The allegations of Count Seven of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Seven of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18 [;]	Code, Section 1344 up to \$295,000.00 in United States Currency.
19 _i	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20 	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	30
ļ	

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$295,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION FIFTEEN Bank Fraud
6	Daik Hadd
7	1. The allegations of Count Eight of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8;	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Eight of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	JIOIMIANIKKAK,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants -
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	31

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6 7 [:]	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION SIXTEEN Bank Fraud
81	1. The allegations of Count Eight of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Eight of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM,
13	EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CUDISTINA D. THOMPSON
14	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	JIOIHI FAMKKAK,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	32

•

ji.

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$590,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION SEVENTEEN
6	Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Nine of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Nine of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
13	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	JIVIHI FAMKKAK,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
: : 1	
11	33

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6 7	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION EIGHTEEN Bank Fraud
8	1. The allegations of Count Nine of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Nine of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and
15	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;

. : i

 1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
	to \$485,000.00 in United States Currency.
2	
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5 6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION NINETEEN Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Ten of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
8	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
9	States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Ten of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
12	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and
13	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
15	
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$368,500.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	35

•

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$368,500.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY Bank Fraud
7	
8	1. The allegations of Count Ten of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated
9	herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 18, United
10	States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Ten of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$368,500.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	36

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$368,500.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-ONE Bank Fraud
6	
7	1. The allegations of Count Eleven of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Eleven of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
13	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
14	
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$589,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants -
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
1.1	-
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$589,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-TWO Bank Fraud
7	Dank Traud
8	1. The allegations of Count Eleven of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Eleven of this Indictment,
12	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
13	MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$589,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23 i	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	38

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$589,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-THREE Bank Fraud
6	Dairk Traud
7	1. The allegations of Count Twelve of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9 E	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Twelve of this Indictment,
11	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
12	MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
13	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and IVOTHI PANIKKAP
14	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$430,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23 ¹¹	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25i	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	39

_

!	
1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$430,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6 7	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-FOUR Bank Fraud
8	1. The allegations of Count Twelve of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Twelve of this Indictment,
12 13	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON,
14 15	AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$430,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	40

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$430,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5 6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-FIVE Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Thirteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10 ¹	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Thirteen of this Indictment,
11 12 13 14	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15¦¦	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
 16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$880,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	
20	omission of the defendants –
10	
20 21 22	omission of the defendants –
21	omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
21 22	omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
21 22 23	omission of the defendants – a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;

1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$880,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6 7	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-SIX Bank Fraud
8	1. The allegations of Count Thirteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Thirteen of this Indictment,
12 13 14 15	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$880,000.00 in United States Currency.
19	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20†	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;

.

: j	
1	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$880,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18,
4	United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).
5 6	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-SEVEN Bank Fraud
7	1. The allegations of Count Fourteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
8	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
9	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
10 ¹¹	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Fourteen of this Indictment,
11 12 13 14	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
15 ₁₁	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
16	from, proceeds traceable to a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344 or a conspiracy
17	to commit such an offense up to \$599,000.00 in United States Currency.
18	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
19	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
20	omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	43

1:	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
2	to \$599,000.00 in United States Currency.
3	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
4	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code,
5	Section 853(p).
6 ¹¹	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-EIGHT Bank Fraud
7 8	1. The allegations of Count Fourteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
9 !	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
10	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(2)(A).
11	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Fourteen of this Indictment,
12 13 14	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and
15	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
16	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
17 .	from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of a violation of Title 18, United States
18	Code, Section 1344 up to \$599,000.00 in United States Currency.
19 ¹	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
20	Section 982(a)(2)(A), as a result of any act or omission of the defendants –
21	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
22	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
23	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
24	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
25	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
26	difficulty;
	44

it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up 1 2 to \$599,000.00 in United States Currency. All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(A) and 982(b); Title 18, 3 4 United States Code, Section 1344; and Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p). 5 FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWENTY-NINE Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering į1 6 7 1. The allegations of Count Fifteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and 8 incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title 9 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c). Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Fifteen of this Indictment, 10 2. **STEVEN W. GRIMM**, 11 EVE E. MAZZARELLA, 12 MELISSA R. BEECROFT. CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON. 13 AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR, 14 defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, involved 15 in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), 16 conspiracy to commit a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956, or any property 17 18 traceable to such property, up to \$2,000,868.34 in United States Currency. 19 3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or 20 21 omission of the defendants a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; 22 23 b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; 24 c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court; 25 d. has been substantially diminished in value, or; 26 45

1	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
2	difficulty;
2	
:	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
4	to \$2,000,868.34 in United States Currency.
 	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) and Title 28, United States
6	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h); and Title 21, United States Code,
7	Section 853(p).
8 9	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THIRTY Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering
10	1. The allegations of Count Fifteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
11	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
12	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
13	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Fifteen of this Indictment,
14 15 16 17	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA, MELISSA R. BEECROFT, CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
18	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property constituting, or derived
19	from, proceeds traceable to a conspiracy in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h),
20	to commit a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956 up to \$2,000,868.34 in United
21	States Currency.
22	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
23	Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
24	omission of the defendants –
25	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
26	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
	46

-

1	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
2	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
_	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
4	difficulty;
5	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
6	to \$2,000,868.34 in United States Currency.
7	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States
8	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h); and Title 21, United States Code,
9	Section 853(p).
10	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THIRTY-ONE
11	Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering
12	1. The allegations of Count Fifteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
13	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
14	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and Title 28, United States Codes, Section 2461(c).
15	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Fifteen of this Indictment,
16	STEVEN W. GRIMM, EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
17	MELISSA R. BEECROFT,
18	CHRISTINA R. THOMPSON, AMY R. ORTIZ, and
19	JYOTHI PANIKKAR,
20	defendants herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, involved
21	in violations of, Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), conspiracy to commit a violation of
22	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956, or any property traceable to such property, up to
23	\$2,000,868.34 in United States Currency.
24	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
25	Section 982(a)(1) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission
26	of the defendants –
	47

-

1	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
2	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
3	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
4	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
5	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
6	difficulty;
7	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendants up
8	to \$2,000,868.34 in United States Currency.
9	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and 982(b); Title 28, United
10	States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h); and Title 21, United
11	States Code, Section 853(p).
12	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THIRTY-TWO Engaging in Monatary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity
13	Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity
14	1. The allegations of Count Sixteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
15	incorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
16 ₁₁	18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).
17	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Sixteen of this Indictment,
18	EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
19	defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, involved
20	in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, or
21	any property traceable to such property, up to \$160,000.00 in United States Currency.
22	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
23	Section 981(a)(1)(A) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or
24	omission of the defendant –
25	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
26	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
	48
, i	

Cas	e 2:08-cr-00064-RLH-GWF Document 38 Filed 06/18/2008 Page 50 of 51
1	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
2	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
3	e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without
4	difficulty;
5	it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant up
6	to \$160,000.00 in United States Currency.
7	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(A); Title 28, United States
8	Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957; and Title 21, United States Code,
9 ¹	Section 853(p).
10 [°] 11	FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THIRTY-THREE Engaging in Monetary Transactions in Property Derived From Specified Unlawful Activity
12	1. The allegations of Count Sixteen of this Indictment are hereby realleged and
13	ncorporated herein by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to the provision of Title
14	18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and Title 28, United States Codes, Section 2461(c).
15	2. Upon a conviction of the felony offenses charged in Count Sixteen of this Indictment,
16	EVE E. MAZZARELLA,
17	defendant herein, shall forfeit to the United States of America, any property, real or personal, involved
18	n violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957, or any property traceable to such property,
19	up to \$160,000.00 in United States Currency.
20	3. If any property being subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,
21	Section 982(a)(1) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), as a result of any act or omission
22	of the defendant –
23	a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
24	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;
25	c. has been place beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
26	d. has been substantially diminished in value, or;
	49

1: e. has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without 2 difficulty; it is the intent of the United States of America to seek forfeiture of any properties of the defendant up 3 to \$160,000.00 in United States Currency. 4 5 All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and 982(b); Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c); Title 18, United States Code, Section 1957; and Title 21, United States 6 7 Code, Section 853(p). **DATED**: this $\cancel{8}$ day of June 2008. 8 9 **A TRUE BILL:** 10 FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY 11 12 **GREGORY A. BROWER** United States Attorney 13 14 15 BRIANPUGH Assistant United States Attorney 16[:] 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25: 26 50