25

26

27

28

1

William R. Urga, Esq.

```
Nevada Bar No. 1195
 2
     Mindy C. Fisher, Esq.
     Nevada Bar No. 11121
 3
     JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH
     3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
 4
     Wells Fargo Tower, Sixteenth Floor
     Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
 5
     Telephone:
                  (702) 699-7500
                  (702) 699-7555
     Facsimile:
     Email: FedCt@juww.com
 6
 7
     Mark L. McAlpine, Esq. (will comply with LR 1A 10-2 within 45 days)
     Matthew D. Novello, Esq. (will comply with LR 1A 10-2 within 45 days)
 8
     MCALPINE & ASSOCIÁTES, P.C.
     3201 University Drive, Suite 100
 9
     Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326
     Telephone:
                  (248) 373-3700
10
     Facsimile:
                  (248) 373-3708
     Email: mnovello@mcalpinelawfirm.com
11
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
12
13
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                   DISTRICT OF NEVADA
14
15
     CCCS INTERNATIONAL, a South
                                                  CASE NO.:
     Carolina corporation,
16
                  PLAINTIFF
17
     v.
18
     FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEGAS, LLC, a
19
     Nevada limited liability company;
     FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEGAS II, LLC.
20
     a Florida limited liability company; and
     FONTAINEBLEAU RÉSORTS, LLC, a
21
     Florida limited liability company,
22
                  DEFENDANTS.
23
```

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

JURY DEMANDED

CCCS International ("CCCS"), through its attorneys, Jolley Urga Wirth Woodbury & Standish and McAlpine & Associates, P.C. (will comply with LR 1A 10-2 within 45 days), states as its Complaint against the Defendants as follows:

5

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600, Las Vegas, NV 89169

Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Fax: (702) 699-7555

JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH

26

7 8

11 12

10

14

16

18

20

21 22

24

27 28

1. CCCS is a South Carolina corporation with its principal place of business located at 102 Graduate Lane, Ladson, South Carolina. CCCS did engage in systematic and regular business activities in Clark County, Nevada.

- 2. Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC is a Nevada limited liability company, with its principal place of business at 2827 Paradise Road, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109.
- 3. Fontainebleau Las Vegas II, LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, and predecessor of Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC, which conducts systematic and regular business activities in Clark County, Nevada.
- 4. Fontainebleau Resorts, LLC, is a Florida limited liability company, which engages in systematic and regular business activities in Clark County, Nevada.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Fontainebleau Resorts, LLC is a parent company, and/or is an alter ego of the Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC and Fontainebleau Las Vegas II, LLC. As such, these companies (Fontainebleau Resorts, LLC, Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC and Fontainebleau Las Vegas II, LLC) shall be collectively referred to herein as "Fontainebleau". Moreover, and based upon information and belief, the various Fontainebleau entities are the instrumentality and/or alter ego of each other.
- 6. There is complete diversity of citizenship between the litigants, and the amount in controversy in this action exceeds \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 7. Venue is proper in this Court in that Defendant Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC maintains its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada, and Defendants Fontainebleau Las Vegas II, LLC and Fontainebleau Resorts, LLC maintain and operate businesses in Las Vegas, Nevada.

FACTUAL SUMMARY

8. This lawsuit arises from the construction of the Fontainebleau Las Vegas Hotel and Resort, in Las Vegas, Nevada (the "Project"). CCCS was retained to perform various construction management and auditing services for the Project. Fontainebleau Las Vegas, LLC, Fontainebleau

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Las Vegas II, LLC and Fontainebleau Resorts, LLC are the owners of the Project. Turnberry West Corporation was the general contractor for the Project, who operated under the direction and control of Fontainebleau.

- 9. During the summer of 2008, CCCS was contacted by Fontainebleau representatives and asked to provide various construction management services for the Project. According to Fontainebleau, the Project was severely over budget and Fontainebleau was in need of a construction manager able to provide cost management and auditing services to recover prior unnecessary overpayments.
- 10. Based upon a past project, CCCS was told that CCCS would be compensated through the agreed upon "Incentive Recovery Fee", whereby CCCS would obtain a percentage of recovery on the overpayments made to various contractors and suppliers who worked at the Project. The parties projected that CCCS would save Fontainebleau a total of \$130 million in prior overpayments.
- 11. CCCS was told that CCCS would be entitled to 2% of any discovery of prior overpayments or potential overpayments.
- 12. In addition to the Incentive Recovery Fee, CCCS was to be paid a monthly billing fee, moving/living expenses and a separate 10% overhead/administration fee on all costs associated with overseeing and managing other cost control teams and staff members.
- 13. Based upon these discussions, in the fall of 2008, CCCS entered into a contract with Fontainebleau for the construction, bidding, negotiating and auditing of the Project (the "Contract").
- 14. Before any written agreement was formalized, Fontainebleau brought CCCS to the Project in an attempt to contain costs and recover prior overpayments.
 - 15. Shortly thereafter, CCCS began performing its work under the Contract.
- 16. During the negotiations prior to the formation of the Contract, Fontainebleau failed to disclose that Fontainebleau did not have adequate financing to continue performance under the terms of the Contract through to the completion of the Project.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17.	Nor did Fontainebleau disclose that Fontainebleau had no intention of honoring the
terms of Cont	ract.

- 18. Fontainebleau failed to adequately design, supervise, coordinate, plan and schedule all of the work performed at the Project, which resulted in significant delays, disruptions, alterations and hardship on many of the subcontractors, consultants and employees who worked on the Project, including CCCS.
- 19. In addition, Fontainebleau wrongfully terminated the Contract and improperly removed CCCS from completing the Project for no justifiable basis.
- 20. At the time of the wrongful termination, and based upon CCCS's detailed auditing procedure, CCCS had already discovered over \$40 million in overpayments in the few months onsite. CCCS was well on its way to discovering the benchmark of \$130 million in contractor/supplier overcharges when Fontainebleau unfairly removed CCCS from the Project. However, and despite receiving these significant benefits, Fontainebleau has refused to pay CCCS the agreed to compensation.
- 21. Upon CCCS's discovery of Fontainebleau's fraudulent billing practices and inappropriate payment methods, CCCS was wrongfully terminated.
- 22. Despite repeated requests, Fontainebleau has refused to deal fairly and in good faith with CCCS, including failing to resolve any of the issues relating to payment for the work performed and accepted.
- 23. These and other breaches as alleged above and below have severely damaged CCCS, particularly Fontainebleau's failure to pay for the work performed, which has caused CCCS to incur considerable financial damages, along with the attorney's fees and costs incurred in pursuing this matter.

COUNT I **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

- 24. CCCS repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 25. CCCS entered into the Contract with Fontainebleau as set forth above.

6

12

3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600, Las Vegas, NV 89169

Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Fax: (702) 699-7555

JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH

- 26. CCCS performed its obligations under the Contract.
- 27. CCCS discovered over \$40 million in prior overpayments, and was well on its way to uncovering upwards of \$130 million.
- 28. Fontainebleau repeatedly, materially, and cardinally breached the Contract including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Failure to make payments when due under the Contract as if completed; a.
 - b. Failing to honor the agreed to Incentive Recovery Fee;
 - Failing to provide CCCS with the agreed upon fees, including the c. overhead/administration fee;
 - d. Failing to provide CCCS with the agreed upon moving and living expenses;
 - Refusing to recognize and accept many discovered over-billings; e.
 - f. Refusing to accept the discovery of various improper billing practices by many contractors, subcontractors and suppliers;
 - Attempting to renegotiate with various contractors with the use of g. CCCS's overpayment information to the detriment of CCCS;
 - h. Maintaining a separate corporate entity as an alter ego to perpetrate various misdeeds and misrepresentations concerning the billings and payment practices at the Project;
 - i. Failing to honor various bidding and change order requirements:
 - j. Failing to provide a proper and adequate design for the Project;
 - k. Failing to properly administer the Contract and coordinate the Project:
 - 1. Delaying, disrupting and interfering with CCCS's work;
 - Improperly terminating the Contract without justification and cause; m.
 - Wrongful rejection of work; n.
 - Lack of adequate supervision and coordination; o.
 - Inadequate planning and coordination with government requirements; p.
 - Failing to timely obtain the appropriate permits; and q.
 - Improper, inaccurate and excessive change order work. r.
- 29. The foregoing breaches of Contract directly and proximately caused CCCS to incur additional costs and damages including, but not limited to:

JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600, Las Vegas, NV 89169	Telephone: (702) 699-7500 Fax: (702) 699-7555
---------------------------------------	---	---

28

1		a. Nonpayment of the Contract's balance;
2		b. Increased Project costs;
3		c. Increased travel and living expenses;
4		d. Loss of productivity;
5		e. Lost use of capital;
6		f. Extended home office and site overhead costs;
7		g. Lost business opportunities;
8		h. Damage to its reputation; and
9		i. Other related, incidental and consequential damages.
10	30.	By reason of Fontainebleau's wrongful conduct, CCCS has been damaged in an
11	amount in exc	cess of \$75,000.00, to be determined at trial, along with the attorney's fees and costs
12	incurred in pu	ursuing this matter.
13	DDE	COUNT II ACH OF THE COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING
14		
15	31.	CCCS repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each and every preceding
16		this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
17	32.	Under Nevada law, in every contract there is a covenant of good faith and fair
18	dealing.	
19	33.	Fontainebleau owed CCCS a duty of good faith and fair dealing arising from the
20	Contract.	
21	34.	Fontainebleau breached that duty imposed by the Contract by performing in a
22	manner that v	vas unfaithful to the Contract and failing to honor its terms.
23	35.	As a result of Fontainebleau's breach of that duty, CCCS's justified expectations
24	were denied.	
25	36.	As a direct result of this breach, CCCS has suffered damages in an amount in excess
26	of \$75,000.00), to be determined at trial, along with the attorney's fees and costs incurred in
20	pursuing this	matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT III UNJUST ENRICHMENT / QUANTUM MERUIT

- 37. CCCS repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
 - 38. CCCS contributed significant improvements and cost savings to the Project.
- 39. CCCS has not been paid in excess of \$1 million for its services provided to the Project.
- 40. Fontainebleau has received, and continues to receive, the benefits of the project management and auditing services provided by CCCS at the Project.
- 41. The services provided by CCCS have saved or should save Fontainebleau in excess of \$40 million.
- 42. However, and despite Fontainebleau having received this significant benefit and cost savings, Fontainebleau has refused to compensate CCCS for these services, which are valued in excess of \$1 million.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the nonpayment by Fontainebleau, CCCS continues to suffer further damages, including attorney's fees and costs incurred in pursuing this matter.
- 44. Unless Fontainebleau is made to pay for the services provided by CCCS for the benefit of the Project, Fontainebleau will be unjustly enriched in an amount in excess of \$1 million to the detriment of CCCS.

COUNT IV FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUDULENT INDUCEMENT

- 45. CCCS repeats and realleges the allegations contained in each and every preceding paragraph of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 46. Both before and continuing beyond formation of the Contract, Fontainebleau repeatedly represented to CCCS that it would compensate CCCS with an Incentive Recovery Fee, as well as other moving and monthly payments, in the event that CCCS determined that Fontainebleau did or would issue various entities significant and unjustified overpayments.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 47. Fontainebleau repeatedly assured CCCS that based upon past practice and the suspected prior cost overruns at the Project, CCCS would be able to obtain compensation through the proposed Incentive Recovery Fee.
- 48. Fontainebleau also represented that the Project was completely designed, and all bidding documentation was satisfactory and complete.
- 49. Fontainebleau also repeatedly assured CCCS that there was sufficient financing in place to justify ongoing construction, even when information given to CCCS to conduct various auditing functions appeared to establish significant cost overruns that could potentially jeopardize completion of the Project.
- 50. Contrary to its representations to CCCS, Fontainebleau in fact had never obtained appropriate financing to complete the Project.
- 51. Nor did Fontainebleau and its representatives completely design or provide complete bidding documentation to the various subcontractors and suppliers as promised to serve as the basis for forecasting various Project costs and potential overruns and over-billings.
- 52. Fontainebleau also had no present intention to comply with the agreed upon Incentive Recovery Fee for the discovery of Project various cost overruns.
- 53. Fontainebleau knew and failed to disclose that there was insufficient financing, design/bid documentation and planning for the Project.
- 54. Fontainebleau knew and failed to disclose that it did not intend to comply with the Incentive Recovery Fee as represented.
- 55. Fontainebleau knew and failed to disclose that various payments made to the Project's contractors and suppliers lacked objective scrutiny.
- 56. Fontainebleau issued these representations with the intention of inducing CCCS to believe that Fontainebleau had previously performed the complete Project designs, were capable of building the Project on budget, had ample financing available, and were experienced at handling similar cost overruns on prior projects.
- 57. In fact, contrary to their representations, Fontainebleau had never before experienced any event similar to the Project, which was a construction project that did not have a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

completed design/bid documentation, lacked appropriate financing, and failed to appropriately consider the previously agreed to cost overruns.

- 58. Fontainebleau made each representation and material omission with the intention of inducing CCCS to forego other opportunities and to enter into the Contract.
- 59. Fontainebleau knew that its affirmative misrepresentations were false when they were made, or it made the misrepresentations with reckless disregard as to their truth or falsity.
- 60. In reasonable reliance on Fontainebleau's misrepresentations and omissions, CCCS entered into the Contract.
- 61. If Fontainebleau had not made all the foregoing misrepresentations and omissions. CCCS would not have entered into the Contract but would have exercised other options, including continuing to work on a prior lucrative construction contract in a different state, or negotiate a wholly different contract with Fontainebleau.
- 62. In further reliance on Fontainebleau's misrepresentations and omissions, CCCS began providing the requested construction management and auditing services, which have now saved Fontainebleau more than \$40 million.
- 63. During the investigation into the millions of dollars spent on contractor overpayment, CCCS began discovering sensitive financial issues, including known and intentional overpayments to various subcontractors and suppliers. This knowledge ultimately led to CCCS's wrongful discharge from the Project.
- 64. After these transactions were brought to the attention of Fontainebleau representatives, CCCS was wrongfully terminated for questioning Fontainebleau's questionable financial transactions, even when CCCS was brought to the Project to perform various auditing functions.
- 65. Following this wrongful termination, it became clear that Fontainebleau never had any intention of performing pursuant to what CCCS believed were the terms of the parties' Contract, including payment on the Incentive Recovery Fee, as well as payment for overseeing and managing other cost management entities and employees.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

66.	Because CCCS was induced to enter into the Contract by Fontainebleau's fraud
CCCS may ele	ect to void the Contract.

- 67. As a direct and proximate result of Fontainebleau's misrepresentations, CCCS continues to suffer further damages, including attorney's fees and costs incurred in pursuing this matter.
- 68. As a foreseeable, direct and/or proximate result of Fontainebleau's misrepresentations, CCCS has incurred and continues to incur damages in excess of \$1 million, including but not limited to:
 - Lack of payment on what CCCS perceived were agreed to terms and rates; a.
 - Investment of time and resources to relocate to a separate state and b. construction project with a severe reduction in its return due to the fact that the Fontainebleau did not perform as promised, and even wrongfully terminated CCCS:
 - Delays in Project work resulting in extra Project costs; c.
 - d. Additional costs incurred in attempting to remedy the significant cost overruns, including but not limited to the cost of hiring and overseeing independent contractors to assist in remedying the drastic cost problems;
 - e. Lost use of resources;
 - f. Lost use of capital;
 - Damage to reputation; g.
 - h. Cost of financing the Project; and
 - i. Lost business opportunities and profits.

WHEREFORE, CCCS demands judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, as follows:

- 1. For general damages in excess of \$75,000, plus pre and post-judgment interest;
- 2. For punitive and exemplary damages, plus pre and post-judgment interest;
- 3. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

CCCS hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues and claims properly submitted to a jury.

DATED this 12th day of May, 2009.

Respectfully submitted,

JOLLEY URGA WIRTH WOODBURY & STANDISH

By /s/ William R. Urga
William R. Urga, Esq. #1195
Mindy C. Fisher, Esq. #11121
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway
Wells Fargo Tower, Sixteenth Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 699-7500
Facsimile: (702) 699-7555
Email: FedCt@juww.com

Mark L. McAlpine, Esq.
Matthew D. Novello, Esq.
McALPINE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
3201 University Drive, Suite 100
Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326
Email: mnovello@mcalpinelawfirm.com
(will comply with LR 1A 10-2
within 45 days)

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS CCCS INTERNATIONAL			DEFENDANTS			
					Las Vegas, LLC; Font leau Resorts, LLC	ainebleau Las Vegas II,
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	Clark
(E	EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	ONLY)
				· ·	D CONDEMNATION CASES, U INVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Numb	er)		Attorneys (If Known)		
Jolley Urga Wirth Wood	Ibury & Standish 380	0 Howard Hughe	es			
Pkwy., Suite 1600, Las						
II. BASIS OF JURISI		n One Box Only)			PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
	•			(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	Citize		TF DEF 1 1 Incorporated or P of Business In Th	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	■ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	1 2 Incorporated and of Business In	
	(marau Chinana	p v1 1 m (14)		en or Subject of a	3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	nly)				
CONTRACT	TO	RTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury		0 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractic		25 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881		450 Commerce
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona		60 Liquor Laws 60 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product		60 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		60 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 00 Other		810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	237.5	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal Property Damage		0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Product Liability	□ 73	0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 74	& Disclosure Act O Railway Labor Act	865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacat		0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	■ 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	- 79	1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION		900Appeal of Fee Determination
☐ 290 All Other Real Property		540 Mandamus & Otl550 Civil Rights		 Naturalization Application Habeas Corpus - 	1	Under Equal Access to Justice
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee		950 Constitutionality of
	Other		□ 46	5 Other Immigration		State Statutes
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions		
🕱 1 Original 📋 2 R		Remanded from [Appellate Court			ferred from G 6 Multidist Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI			re filing (al statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of ca	use: ached their agree	ement v	with Plaintiff for co	nstruction manageme	ent and auditing services
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IC A CLASS ACTION	J D	FMAND \$		if demanded in complaint
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE , .			DOCKET NUMBER	
			<i>(</i> \			
05/12/2009		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			14			
RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE _	MAG. JU	JDGE

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

CCCS INTERNATIONAL, a Plaintiff v. FONTAINEBLEAU LAS V Defendant) Civil Action No. (EGAS, LLC et al.				
	SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION				
To: (Defendant's name and address)	FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEGAS, LLC c/o The Corporation Trust Company of Nevada (Resident Agent) 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nefada 89511				
A lawsuit has been filed					
are the United States or a Unite P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: William R. Urga, Esq. Mindy C. Fisher, Esq. Jolley Urga Wirth Woodbury & Standish 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.					
	CLERK OF COURT				
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(1))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	eceived by me on (date)			7.50000	
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	nt (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons a	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)		
		, a person o	of suitable age and discretion who resi	des there	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to	the individual's last known address; or	r	
	☐ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	ccept service of process on beha	lf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	ons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
		·	Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA	IOT THE			
DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
CCCS INTERNATIONAL, a South Carolina corp,) Plaintiff) v.) FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEGAS, LLC et al.) Defendant)	Civil Action No.			
SUMMONS IN A CIV	IL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEGAS II c/o The Corporation Trust Compare 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nefada 89511				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: William R. Urga, Esq. Mindy C. Fisher, Esq. Jolley Urga Wirth Woodbury & Standish 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.			
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:				
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)				
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or u	sual place of abode with (name)		
		, a person o	of suitable age and discretion who resi	des there	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to t	he individual's last known address; or	•	
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on beha	lf of (name of organization)		1
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or
	Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signature		
			Printed name and title		
			Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the	
	DISTRICT OF NEVA	DA
CCCS INTERNATIONAL, a Sou Plaintiff V. FONTAINEBLEAU LAS VEG Defendant)	Civil Action No.
	SUMMONS IN A C	IVIL ACTION
61		LLC pany of Nevada (Resident Agent)
A lawsuit has been filed ag	gainst you.	
are the United States or a United S P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must ser the Federal Rules of Civil Procedu whose name and address are: W Mi Jo 38	tates agency, or an officer or we on the plaintiff an answer	
If you fail to respond, judg You also must file your answer or		red against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:	- -	
		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(1))

	This summons for (name	of individual and title, if a	ny)			
was re	ceived by me on (date)		· ·			
	☐ I personally served the	ne summons on the inc	dividual at (place)			
				on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons at	the individual's resid	ence or usual pla	ace of abode with (name)		
		, a	a person of suitab	ole age and discretion who resi	des there	,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the indi	vidual's last known address; or	r	
	☐ I served the summon	s on (name of individual)				, who is
	designated by law to ac-	cept service of process	s on behalf of (na	me of organization)		
				on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summo	ons unexecuted becaus	se			; or
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and	\$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00	
	I declare under penalty	of periury that this info	ormation is true.			
	1	1 3 2				
Date:						
2001				Server's signature		
				Printed name and title		
				9 1 11		
				Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: