Ca	e 2:09-cv-00739-JCM-GWF Document 1	Filed 04/24/2009
1 2 3 4	JAMES D. BOYLE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 08384 KIMBERLY J. COOPER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09533 SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702/791-0308 Facsimile: 702/791-1912	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7	<i>y y</i>	
8	UNITED STATES D	TOTOLOTION
١		ISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT O	
9 10	CANNERY CASINO RESORTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	F NEVADA CASE NO.:
9 10 11	CANNERY CASINO RESORTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,  Plaintiff,	F NEVADA
9 10 11 12	CANNERY CASINO RESORTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,  Plaintiff,  v.	F NEVADA CASE NO.:
9	CANNERY CASINO RESORTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,  Plaintiff,	F NEVADA CASE NO.:
9 10 11 12 13	CANNERY CASINO RESORTS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,  Plaintiff,  v.	F NEVADA CASE NO.:

Plaintiff Cannery Casino Resorts, LLC ("Cannery"), by and through its undersigned counsel, James D. Boyle, Esq. and Kimberly J. Cooper, Esq. and the law firm of Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holley & Thompson, for its claims against Defendant Redwood Media ("Redwood"), hereby alleges as follows:

Page 1 of 14

# I. SUMMARY OF THE COMPLAINT

- 1. This is an action for mark infringement and unfair competition under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114(1) and 1125(a)) and violation of the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)), arising from Redwood's unauthorized registration and use of the Internet domain name <a href="www.cannerycasino.org">www.cannerycasino.org</a> (the "Infringing Domain Name"), and unauthorized use of the mark CANNERY (the "Infringing Mark").
- 2. As a result of Redwood's willful and unauthorized registration and/or use of the Infringing Mark and the Infringing Domain Name, Redwood has infringed, and continues to

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MCIS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

infringe, Cannery's marks CANNERY and similar marks (collectively, the "Cannery Mark"). Cannery therefore seeks: injunctive relief; the recovery of actual and treble damages; the recovery of Redwood's profits derived from registration and use of the Infringing Domain Name and Infringing Mark; the recovery of Cannery's costs and attorney fees; and such other relief as more fully set forth herein.

#### II. THE PARTIES

- 3. Cannery is a Nevada limited liability company with a principal place of business in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada.
- Upon information and belief, Redwood Media is a business entity registered in 4. Gibraltar having a principal place of business located at Casemates Square, Gibralter, Gibralter. Redwood is the registrant of the Infringing Domain Name.

#### III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116 and 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338, as this action arises under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq.
- Personal jurisdiction over Redwood is proper as Redwood has purposefully directed its activities to residents of the state of Nevada, which activities have resulted in the injuries to Cannery as alleged herein. Redwood has engaged in intentional actions directed at residents of Nevada by and through Redwood's active operation of the Infringing Domain Name, and active operation of the webpages accessible through the Infringing Domain Name (the "Infringing Webpages"), and the infringement of the Cannery Mark resultant therefrom, which forum-related actions Redwood knew or should have known would cause harm to Cannery as alleged herein.
- 7. Personal jurisdiction over Redwood is moreover proper and reasonable because Redwood's intentional actions in infringing the Cannery Mark through Redwood's active operation of the Infringing Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages have specifically targeted consumers in Nevada, including, but not limited to, through direct solicitations of such consumers to purchase goods and services through the Infringing Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages.

- 8. This Court has in-personam jurisdiction over Redwood pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 14.065.
- 9. Redwood's activities as set forth herein have resulted in the injuries to Cannery as alleged herein.
- 10. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), as a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims at issue in this action occurred in this judicial district.

### IV. FACTS

- 11. Cannery is a diversified hotel, entertainment and gaming company that presently owns and operates hotels and casinos (the "Cannery Hotels and Casinos") in Clark County, Nevada.
- 12. In association with its ownership and operation of the Cannery Hotels and Casinos, Cannery has to date filed some forty-four federal mark applications with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "USPTO") for the Cannery Mark and related marks. Furthermore, to date Cannery has obtained Certificates of Registration for nineteen of its mark applications.
- 13. In association with the Cannery Hotels and Casinos and the offering of goods and services in association therewith (the "Cannery Goods and Services"), Cannery has and continues to market, promote and advertise the Cannery Hotels and Casinos and the Cannery Goods and Services through use of the Cannery Mark. Cannery's efforts in this regard date from at least December 20, 2002.
- 14. Through Cannery's operations of the Cannery Hotels and Casinos, its significant corporate growth and considerable market and commercial successes, its extensive marketing and advertising, and its multiple channels of trade in which the Cannery Mark is and will continue to be utilized, the Cannery Mark has obtained famousness and/or the Cannery Mark has acquired distinctiveness.
- 15. Cannery has acquired substantial goodwill associated with the Cannery Mark, and the Cannery Mark has become the mark associated in the marketplace with the Cannery Hotels

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

12

11

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19 20

22 23

21

24 25

26 27

28

and Casinos and the Cannery Goods and Services.

- 16. Cannery has the priority right to use the Cannery Mark in commerce for the Cannery Goods and Services and in association with the Cannery Hotels and Casinos.
- 17. On or about September 24, 2008, Redwood registered the Infringing Domain Name with the on-line domain name registrar Dynadot, LLC ("Dynadot").
- 18. Redwood has used and continues to use the Infringing Domain Name to promote the website and webpages accessible through the Infringing Domain Name (i.e., the Infringing Webpages), which website and webpages are used to promote and solicit business through an online interactive gaming site (the "Redwood Goods and Services"). Redwood advertises, markets, and promotes the Infringing Webpages and the Redwood Goods and Services through multiple hyperlinks and affiliated websites that promote and offer online gaming and other goods and services.
- 19. Redwood has further used the Infringing Mark as text, buried code and metadata in association with Redwood's wrongful registration of the Infringing Domain Name and operation of the Infringing Webpages.
- 20. Redwood's first use date and first use in commerce date for the Infringing Mark occurred after Cannery commenced public use and use in commerce of the Cannery Mark.
- 21. Upon information and belief, Redwood registered the Infringing Domain Name and commenced use of the Infringing Mark to capitalize on Cannery's goodwill, business reputation and marketing efforts and the use by Cannery of the Cannery Mark.
- 22. Redwood is not affiliated with Cannery and is not authorized by Cannery to use the Infringing Mark in any manner, including, but not limited to, in association with the Infringing Domain Name or to promote the Redwood Goods and Services.
- 23. Redwood's unauthorized use of the Infringing Mark and unauthorized registration of the Infringing Domain Name is likely to deceive the public into believing that Redwood is authorized by Cannery to use the Infringing Mark or the Infringing Domain Name, or that Redwood maintains an affiliation with Cannery to use the Infringing Mark or the Infringing Domain Name, when in fact Redwood is not so authorized by Cannery and Redwood is not

10

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

23

24

affiliated with Cannery for use of the Infringing Mark or the Infringing Domain Name.

- 24. Prior to using the Infringing Mark and registering the Infringing Domain Name. Redwood had either actual or constructive notice of Cannery's prior use in commerce and legal rights in and to the Cannery Mark, including, but not limited to, in association with the Cannery Goods and Services and in connection with the Cannery Hotels and Casinos.
- 25. Redwood used and uses the Infringing Mark and the Infringing Domain Name to profit from Cannery's goodwill, business reputation and marketing efforts through, in part, Cannery's prior use of the Cannery Mark and the public's recognition of the distinctiveness associated with the Cannery Mark, the Cannery Goods and Services, and the Cannery Hotels and Casinos.
- 26. Redwood's past, present and future infringement and misappropriation of the Cannery Mark, including, but not limited to, through use of the Infringing Mark and the Infringing Domain Name, has permitted and will permit Redwood to benefit and profit from Cannery's goodwill, business reputation and marketing efforts associated with the Cannery Mark, the Cannery Goods and Services, and the Cannery Hotels and Casinos.

#### V. **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Mark Infringement Under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. 1114(1)))

- 27. Cannery hereby incorporates each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 as if fully set forth herein.
- 28. Redwood's uses of the Infringing Mark and the Infringing Domain Name in interstate commerce in connection with advertising or promoting the Redwood Goods and Services, is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive, as to the affiliation, connection, or association of such goods or services of Redwood with Cannery, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of such goods, services or commercial activities of Redwood by Cannery.
- 29. Redwood is further using a reproduction or colorable imitation of the registered Cannery Mark in interstate commerce, and such use was and continues to be made in connection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

with the sale, offering for sale, distribution and/or advertising of goods or services on or in
connection with which such use is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, and/or to
deceive reasonable consumers as to the origin, sponsorship, and/or approval by Cannery of the
Infringing Mark and the goods or services provided thereunder.

- 30. The actions of Redwood as alleged herein constitute mark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1).
- 31. As a result of the acts of Redwood as alleged herein, Cannery has suffered and will continue to suffer damages to its business, goodwill, reputation and profits, while Redwood profits at Cannery's expense.
- 32. The actions of Redwood as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those actions, have caused and will continue to cause great and irreparable harm to Cannery in an amount that cannot be ascertained, thereby leaving Cannery with no adequate remedy at law.
- 33. Unless Redwood is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing the Cannery Mark, Cannery will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- By reason of the foregoing lack of an adequate remedy at law, Cannery is entitled 34. to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Redwood pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.
- 35. Cannery is further entitled to recover statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 or more than \$100,000.00 per counterfeit mark per type of services sold, offered for sale or distributed, as the Court considers just, in association with Redwood's violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1), pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c).

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Federal Mark Infringement and Unfair Competition (15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)))

- Cannery hereby incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth 36. in Paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Redwood's uses of the Infringing Mark and the Infringing Domain Name in interstate commerce in connection with advertising or promoting the Redwood Goods and Services, is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive, as to the affiliation, connection, or association of such goods or services of Redwood with Cannery, or as to the

origin,	sponsorship,	or approval	of such	goods,	services of	r commercial	activities	of Redwood b
Canner	ry.							

- 38. Redwood's use of the Infringing Mark, the Infringing Domain Name and/or the Infringing Webpages constitutes mark infringement and unfair competition in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).
- 39. As a result of the acts of Redwood as alleged herein, Cannery has suffered and will continue to suffer damages to its business, goodwill, reputation and profits, while Redwood profits at Cannery's expense.
- 40. The actions of Redwood as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those actions, have caused and will continue to cause great and irreparable harm to Cannery in an amount that cannot be ascertained, thereby leaving Cannery with no adequate remedy at law.
- 41. Unless Redwood is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from infringing the Cannery Mark, and from engaging in unfair competition, Cannery will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- 42. By reason of the foregoing lack of an adequate remedy at law, Cannery is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Redwood pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.
- 43. Cannery is also entitled to recover Redwood's profits derived from the use of the Infringing Mark, and any damages Cannery has suffered by reason thereof.
- 44. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1117(a) and 1117(b), Cannery is also entitled to an award of treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs, as Redwood's actions as alleged herein were willful, egregious and otherwise exceptional in association with Redwood's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# (Violation of the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)))

- 45. Cannery hereby incorporates by this reference each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 44 as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. Redwood's actions as alleged herein were committed with a bad faith intent to profit from the Cannery Mark by registering, trafficking in or using the Infringing Mark by and

	ш	
	11	
	ш	
	ш	
	11	
~	ш	

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

13

12

15

14

17

16

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

through the Infringing Domain Name.

- 47. The Infringing Domain Name is confusingly similar to the Cannery Mark, and the Cannery Mark had acquired distinctiveness at the time Redwood registered the Infringing Domain Name.
- 48. Redwood's registration of and use of the Infringing Domain Name violates the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).
- 49. As a result of Redwood's actions as alleged herein, Cannery has suffered and will continue to suffer damage to its business, goodwill, reputation and profits, while Redwood profits at Cannery's expense.
- 50. Redwood's actions as alleged herein, and the ongoing direct results of those actions, have caused and will continue to cause great and irreparable injury to Cannery in an amount that cannot be ascertained, leaving Cannery with no adequate remedy at law.
- 51. Unless Redwood is preliminarily and permanently enjoined from Redwood's violations of the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act, as alleged herein, Cannery will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
- 52. By reason of the foregoing, Cannery is entitled to preliminary and permanent injunctive relief against Redwood pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116.
- 53. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(d), Cannery is also entitled to recover its attorneys' fees, and statutory damages in the amount of not less than \$1,000.00 and not more than \$100,000.00, as the Court considers just, for each infringing domain name registered, traffickedin or used by Redwood in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d).

#### VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Cannery prays for entry of judgment and relief against Redwood as follows:

- 1. That Redwood, and any agents, servants, employees, attorneys, partners, affiliates and all persons or entities acting for, with, by, through or under Redwood, shall be preliminarily and thereafter permanently enjoined and restrained from:
  - a. directly or indirectly infringing the Cannery Mark by marketing, offering,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

selling, disposing of, licensing, leasing, transferring, displaying, advertising, reproducing, exhibiting, exploiting or causing the marketing, offering, selling, disposing, licensing, leasing, transferring, displaying, advertising, reproducing, exhibiting, exploiting, developing or manufacturing, or linking of, any goods or services derived from or bearing the Cannery Mark, or ordering, directing, participating or assisting in any such activities;

- b. using in any manner the Cannery Mark, or any term or terms likely to cause confusion therewith, including, without limitation, in connection with the Infringing Mark, the Infringing Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages, and any and all variants thereof in the possession or control of Redwood, as a domain name, directory name, or other such computer address, as the name of a website, in buried code or metatags on any of Redwood's websites, including, but not limited to, the Infringing Webpages, or in connection with the retrieval of data or information with respect to Redwood's other goods or services, or in connection with the advertising or promotion of Redwood's goods, services or websites, or ordering, directing, participating or assisting in any such use, or linking to and from the Infringing Domain Name; and
- c. registering any domain name embodying in any manner and to any extent the Cannery Mark, including, without limitation, the Infringing Domain Name, or facilitating the sale or registration of any such domain names, or offering for sale or registration any such domain names, or ordering, directing, participating or assisting in any such use;
- 2. That Redwood be directed to preserve, retain and deliver to Cannery's counsel, in hard copies or electronic copies: (a) all evidence and documentation relating in any way to Redwood's use of the Infringing Mark, in any form, including, without limitation, all evidence and documentation relating to Redwood's websites, including, but not limited to, the Infringing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

26

27

28

Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages, or any other webpages or services where Redwood
has used such names or marks; (b) all such evidence and documentation relating to the names
and addresses (electronic mail or otherwise) of any person with whom Redwood has
communicated regarding Redwood's use of the Infringing Mark, in any form, including, but not
limited to, the Infringing Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages, or any other webpages or
services where Redwood has used such names or marks; and (c) all financial evidence and
documentation relating to Redwood's websites, services or products, or advertising, which
appear or are offered on or through Redwood's websites, including, but not limited to, the
Infringing Domain Name and the Infringing Webpages which are related in any way to
Redwood's uses of the Infringing Mark;

- 3. That Redwood be directed to file with this Court, and to serve upon Cannery's counsel, within thirty (30) days after entry against Redwood of any injunctive relief set forth herein, a report in writing under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which Redwood complied with said injunctive relief, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a);
- 4. That Redwood be enjoined from engaging in any of the unlawful and/or wrongful actions as alleged herein, including, without limitation, mark infringement, unfair competition and/or cybersquatting in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seg.;
- 5. That Redwood be ordered to provide an accounting of Redwood's profits or revenues derived through any of the actions as alleged herein, including, without limitation, mark infringement and unfair competition in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051 et seq.;
- That Dynadot, or any other registrar or registry relevant to the registration of the Infringing Domain Name, be directed to cease and desist public access to the Infringing Domain Name during the pendency of this action;
- 7. That Dynadot, or any other registrar or registry relevant to the registration of the Infringing Domain Name, cancel the Infringing Domain Name or transfer the Infringing Domain Name to Cannery;
- 8. That Cannery be awarded statutory damages of not less than \$500.00 or more than \$100,000.00 per counterfeit mark per type of services sold, offered for sale or distributed, as the

Court considers just, in association with Redwood's violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114(1);

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9.	That Cannery recover Redwood's profits derived from the use of the Infringing
Mark, and an	y damages suffered by reason thereof, resultant from Redwood's wrongful actions
complained o	f herein:

- 10. That Cannery be awarded statutory damages in the amount of not less than \$1,000.00 and not more than \$100,000.00, as the Court considers just, for each infringing domain name registered, trafficked-in or used by Redwood in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d);
- 11. That Cannery be awarded three times Redwood's profits and three times Cannery's damages suffered by reason of Redwood's willful and wrongful actions as complained of herein, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1117(a) and 1117(b);
- 12. That Cannery be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees based on Redwood's willful, wrongful and exceptional actions as alleged herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1117(a) and 1117(b);
  - That Cannery be awarded its costs of this action; and 13.
- 14. That Cannery be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable.

DATED this 24th/ day of April, 2009.

SANTORO, DRIGGS, WALCH, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON

JAMES D. BOYLE, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 08384 KIMBERLY J. COOPER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 09533 400 South Fourth Street, Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	I	n me	
	District	of N	evada
CANNERY CASINO R Plaintiff v. REDWOOD N Defendant	1EDIA	) ) )	Civil Action No.
	SUMMONS IN	A CI	VIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	REDWOOD MEDIA Casemates Square Gilbraltar, Gilbraltar		
A lawsuit has been filed	l against you.		
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must sthe Federal Rules of Civil Processhose name and address are:	d States agency, or an office serve on the plaintiff an ansolute. The answer or motion James D. Boyle, Esq.	er or e wer to n mus	of counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of st be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, KEARNEY, HOLLEY & THOMPSON floor
If you fail to respond, ju You also must file your answer	ndgment by default will be e or motion with the court.	ntere	d against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
			CLERK OF COURT
Date:			

AO 440 (Rev. 02/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

### PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(1))

Inis summons for (nar	ne of individual and title, if any)							
eceived by me on (date)								
☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual a	t (place)						
		on (date)	; or					
☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)						
, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there on (date) , and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or								
designated by law to a	accept service of process on behal			_				
		on (date)	; or					
☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; 01				
Other (specify):								
My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information	is true.						
		Server's signature						
		Printed name and title						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

# Case 2:09-cv-00739-JCM-GW(TVIP) CVOTER SHFIRE 04/24/2009 Page 14 of 14

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a)		NNERY CASINO RES			FENDANTS R	REDWOO	OD MEDIA		
(b)	County of Residence of Fire	st Listed Plaintiff Clark			ty of Residence of Fis			Entity	
	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					(IN U.S.	PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
	. Boyle, Esq.	ldress, and Telephone Number)			NOTE: IN LAND C		ΓΙΟΝ CASES, USE THE LOCA	TION OF THE L	AND
400 Sout	Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Holle th Fourth Street, Third Floor	y & Thompson		Attori	neys (If Known)				
Las Veg: (702)791	as, Nevada 89101 I-0308								
II. BA	ASIS OF JURISDIC	<b>FION</b> (Place an "X" in One	Box Only)	III. C			NCIPAL PARTIES (PI		e Box
□ 1	U.S. Government Samuel 3	Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Pa	rty)	Citizen o	(For Diversity Cas f This State	PTF DEI		PTF	<b>DEF</b> ☐ 4
□ 2	U.S. Government	Diversity		Citizen o	f Another State	□ 2   □ 2		ace of 5	□ 5
		(Indicate Citizenship of F	Parties in Item III)	Citizen o Country	r Subject of a Foreign	3 3	B Foreign Nation	□ 6	⊠ 6
IV. N		ace an "X" in One Box Only)	D.M.G						
☐ 110 I	CONTRACT nsurance	PERSONAL INJURY	RTS PERSONAL IN	HIDV	FORFEITURE/I	PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY  422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER ST	TATUS
120 M 130 M 140 M	Marine Miller Act Negotiable Instrument Recovery of Overpayment &	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	☐ 362 Personal Inju Malpractice ☐ 365 Personal Inju Product Liabil	ry - Med. ry - ity		Seizure of	422 Appear 28 USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS	Reapportionme 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme	st nd Banking
☐ 151 N ☐ 152 F	Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act Recovery of Defaulted Student	Slander  ☐ 330 Federal Employer's  Liability	☐ 368 Asbestos Per. Injury Product PERSONAL PROP	Liability	☐ 640 R.R. & Truck☐ 650 - Airline Reg☐ 660 - Occupationa	S.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 460 Deporta☐ Racketeer Is and Corrupt Or	ıfluenced
	Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lend	ing	Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other		⊠ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consun ☐ 490 Cable/S	
	Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability  ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Person Property Dama	ige	LABOF	<b>?</b>	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 810 Selectiv ☐ 850 Securiti	
☐ 190 C	Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	☐ 355 - Motor Vehicle Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Dan Product Liabili		🔲 710 Fair Labor St	andards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Commodities/E	
☐ 195 C ☐ 196 F	Contract Product Liability Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury			720 Labor/Mgmt. 730 Labor/Mgmt. Disclosure	Reporting & Act		C1 11 10 T	SC 3410
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETI		☐ 740 Railway Labo ☐ 790 Other Labor I	Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	891 Agricul	
☐ 220 F ☐ 230 R ☐ 240 T ☐ 245 T	and Condemnation Foreclosure Rent Lease & Ejectment Forts to Land Fort Product Liability All Other Real Property	☐ 441 - Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	□ 510 Motions to Va Sentence Habeas Corp □ 530 General □ 535 Death Pena □ 540 Mandamus Other □ 550 Civil Right □ 555 Prison Con	us: alty s &	∏ 791 Empl. Ret. In Act	c. Security	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC 7609	Stabilization Ac	Allocation  of  of Fee  Justice  tionality
V. OR	IGIN Place an "X ☐ 2	" in One Box Only)	□ 4		□ 5		<b>□</b> 6	☐ 7 Appeal	to District
Pro	riginal Rem oceeding	ove from State Court Rema	anded from Re Blate Court Re	einstated o copened	r Transfe another (specify	<i>'</i> )	Multidistrict Litigation	Judge fi Magistr Judgme	om ate
VI. CA	AUSE OF ACTION	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under w Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 a Brief description of cause:	hich you are filing (Dend 1125)	o not cite	jurisdictional statute	s unless dive	rsity):		
		bher description of cause.							
	EQUESTED IN PLAINT	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	SACTION	DEMA	ND \$		CHECK YES only if d JURY DEMAND:		olaint: ] No
	RELATED CASE(S) ANY	IF (See instructions):		JUDGE		D	OCKET NUMBER		
DATE	1/21/29		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	ON RECORD				
F07 ==	4/24/09	<u> </u>		$\rightarrow$	<u> </u>				
	FICE USE ONLY								
RECIEP	T #	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDO	GE	

04199-26/Document in ProLaw