3	COMP ADAMS LAW GROUP, LTD. JAMES R. ADAMS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6874 ASSLY SAYYAR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9178 8681 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 280 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 Tel: 702-838-7200 Fax: 702-838-3636 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  DISTRICT O								
	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA								
	HIGHER GROUND, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; RRR HOMES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; TRIPLE	Department No: Case No:							
11	BRAIDED CORD, LLC, a Nevada limited								
12	liability company; EQUISOURCE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company;								
13	EQUISOURCE HOLDINGS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; APPLETON								
14	PROPERTIES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; CBRIS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability								
15	company; MEGA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; SOUTHERN								
	NEVADA ACQUISITIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, on behalf of themselves								
17	and as representatives of the class herein defined,								
18	Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT							
19	v.	ARBITRATION EXEMPT Declaratory Relief							
20	NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC, a Nevada corporation; RMI MANAGEMENT, INC., dba RED ROCK FINANCIAL SERVICES,	Class Action							
21	a Nevada corporation; HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC., a Nevada								
22	corporation; ALESSI & KOENIG, a Nevada								
	limited liability company; HAMPTON & HAMPTON, a professional corporation; ANGIUS								
	& TERRY COLLECTIONS, LLC, EUGENE BURGER MANAGEMENT CORPORATION,								
25	a foreign corporation, SILVER STATE TRUSTEE SERVICES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability								
26	company, and DOES I through X and ROE ENTITIES I through X, inclusive								
27	Defendants.								
28									

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The above Plaintiffs, by their attorney, Adams Law Group, Ltd., bring this civil action against the above Defendants, and each of them, and complain and allege as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs, HIGHER GROUND, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; RRR HOMES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; TRIPLE BRAIDED CORD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; EQUISOURCE, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; EQUISOURCE HOLDINGS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; APPLETON PROPERTIES, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; CBRIS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; MEGA, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; SOUTHERN NEVADA ACQUISITIONS, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, on behalf of themselves and as representatives of the class herein defined, bring this action on behalf of themselves and all other owners and former owners of real property located within Nevada homeowners' associations ("Real Property") said Plaintiffs paying excess monies ("Excess Lien Amounts") to Defendants and said Nevada homeowners' associations ("HOAs") in order to satisfy HOAs' liens and other amounts ("HOA Liens") claimed by Defendants and the HOAs against the Real Property of Plaintiffs, said Excess Lien Amounts and the collection thereof being in violation of the Nevada Revised Statutes and of the common laws of the State of Nevada. Additionally, this action is brought only on behalf of those owners of Real Property aforementioned who had obtained title to said Real Property through a trustee's sale instituted by the first security interest holder of said Real Property and which said first security interest had been recorded before the date on which the assessments, penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest sought by the HOAs and Defendants and comprising said liens, became delinquent.
- 2. This action is brought in accordance with Rule 23 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure. The class represented by the named Plaintiffs in this action is described in Paragraph 1 of this Complaint. These persons and entities constitute a class that is so numerous that joinder is impracticable. Since the named Defendants acted similarly in connection with one or more of the Plaintiffs, there exist questions of law or fact which are common to all members of the class, and which predominate over questions of law and fact which affect only individual members of the class. The impact of the offenses committed by the Defendants is common to all Plaintiffs. Since the named

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs have interests in this action which are coincident with and not adverse to the class they represent, and since the named Plaintiffs individually have a substantial financial interest in this action, these named Plaintiffs will adequately protect the interests of the class they represent.

- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise, of Defendants herein designated as DOES I through X and ROE ENTITIES I through X inclusive, are unknown to the Plaintiffs at this time, who therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of said Defendants is responsible in some manner for the events and happenings alleged herein and proximately caused the injuries and damages herein alleged. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities as they are ascertained.
- 4. By maintaining this action as a class action, savings in time, effort, and expense will be achieved by both the court and parties to this action. One of the advantages of maintaining this action as a class action is that persons and entities who are members of the class, and who have claims which might not otherwise warrant individual actions, will be provided with a method for the redress of their claims. Additionally, the maintenance of this action as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitious litigation which might result in the establishment of incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendants. Thus, a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- 5. At all times material hereto, Defendants were and now are entities organized and existing under the laws of the State of Nevada and have their principal places of business and transact business in the State of Nevada.
- 6. Defendants are Nevada law firms or collection agencies acting on behalf of, and as agents for the HOAs in the collection of alleged debts claimed by the HOAs and Defendants against the Real Property of Plaintiffs.
- 7. Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116 governs liens against properties located within the HOAs, such as the Real Property, and generally states as follows:

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

b. a homeowners' association's lien under Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116 is junior to the first security interest of a mortgage lender in those cases where the first security interest holder's security instrument was recorded before the date on which the assessment or fine sought to be enforced became delinquent, excepting, however, to the extent of the amount equal to the assessments for common expenses based upon the period budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months (only 6 months of assessments prior to October 1, 2009) immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien by the homeowners' association (the "Super Priority Lien Amount").

- 8. Thus, the HOA Liens became extinguished by the trustee's sale of the security interest of the first mortgage lender except for the Super Priority Lien Amount.
- 9. All Plaintiffs obtained title to their respective Real Property through a trustee's sale whereby a secured first lender foreclosed on the Real Property. The trustee's sale extinguished the HOA Liens against said Real Property but for the Super Priority Lien Amount, if any, which is the only amount of said liens which survived extinguishment pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116.
- HOAs are homeowners' associations within the meaning of NRS 116 and are bound by its provisions.
- 11. Defendants currently demand and collect, and have demanded and collected, and have received monies relating to liens claimed by HOAs and Defendants against the Real Property of the Plaintiffs in an amount greater than the Super Priority Lien Amount or the amounts permitted by the laws of the State of Nevada, in violation of Nevada Revised Statutes and the common laws of the State of Nevada.
- 12. Plaintiffs are, or have been "unit owners" within the definitions contained in NRS 116, said units comprising the Real Property.
- 13. In violation of NRS 116, NRS 649 and the common laws of the State of Nevada, Defendants currently demand and collect, and have demanded and collected, and have received monies

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from Plaintiffs in order to satisfy HOA Liens claimed by HOAs and Defendants, said monies comprising the Excess Lien Amounts.

- 14. In their capacity as the collection agents for the HOAs, at all times material hereto, and continuing up to and including the date of filing of this Complaint, Defendants, and each of them, have knowingly, intentionally and unlawfully overcharged Plaintiffs to satisfy the HOAs' Liens.
- 15. As a result of said overcharging, the named Plaintiffs and all other persons on whose behalf this suit has been brought, have suffered damage and injury in their property in an actual amount which is as yet unknown to Plaintiffs, but which Plaintiffs believe is in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 16. The named Plaintiffs, and all other persons on whose behalf this suit has been brought, are entitled to treble damages in an amount which is not yet ascertained, but which Plaintiffs believe is in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 17. The named Plaintiffs and the persons on whose behalf this suit is brought are entitled to recover reasonable attorneys' fees for the services of their attorneys in this proceeding, together with their costs of suit.

# **First Cause of Action**

#### **Civil RICO**

- 18. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 17 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 19. Defendants, and each of them, have demanded, received and retained monies from Plaintiffs in order to satisfy the Excess Lien Amounts, said Excess Lien Amounts and the collection thereof being in violation of the Nevada Revised Statutes and of the common laws of the State of Nevada.
- 20. Defendants had no legal right to demand, collect and retain the Excess Lien Amounts because, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116, the Excess Liens Amounts were extinguished by trustees' sales instituted by the first security interest holders through which Plaintiffs obtained title to their Real Property, which left only the Super Priority Lien Amounts, if any, amenable to collection by Defendants to satisfy the HOA Liens.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- On each of the multiple occasions Defendants or their agents, and each of them, 21. demanded, received and retained monies from Plaintiffs in excess of the Super Priority Lien Amount or other amounts allowed by the laws of the State of Nevada, Defendants having obtained the monies of Plaintiffs under false pretenses.
- 22. On multiple occasions, Defendants or their agents, and each of them, through the demanding and receiving the Excess Lien Amounts from Plaintiffs in violation of the Nevada Revised Statutes and of the common laws of the State of Nevada, have wrongfully taken the property of Plaintiffs under circumstances not amounting to robbery, and have obtained possession of money valued at \$250 or more from Plaintiffs by means of false pretenses.
- Each such of these multiple acts constitute crimes related to racketeering as defined in 23. Nevada Revised Statutes §207.360.
- 24. As above described, and at all material times related hereto, Defendants or their agents, and each of them, have conducted "racketeering activity," meaning each has engaged in at least two crimes related to racketeering as defined in Nevada Revised Statutes §207.360, said crimes related to racketeering being
- the demanding and receiving the Excess Lien Amounts, by falsely claiming such a. amounts were properly due and owing by Plaintiffs when, in fact, they were not due, and
- b. the wrongful taking of the monies of Plaintiffs under circumstances not amounting to robbery.
- 25. All Defendants engaged in these crimes related to racketeering which have the same or similar pattern, intents, results, accomplices, victims or methods of commission, or are otherwise interrelated by distinguishing characteristics and are not isolated incidents.
- 26. Through the combination of individuals comprising each of Defendants' respective officers, directors, members, managers, employees and agents, and each of the HOAs and management companies of the HOAs, who, assisting in each of Defendants' acts as above described, have acted together, pursuant to a common design and agreement to engage in racketeering activity, have, therefore, structured a criminal syndicate as defined in Nevada Revised Statutes §207.370.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 27. Defendants, and each of them, have with criminal intent, received monies derived, directly or indirectly, from racketeering activities as above described and used said monies to further establish, operate and control their enterprise.
- 28. Defendants, and each of them, have through their actions above described, intentionally organized, managed, directed, supervised and financed the criminal syndicate.
- 29. Defendants, and each of them, have knowingly incited or induced others, such as their respective collection agencies and management companies to engage in intimidation to promote or further the objectives of their criminal syndicate.
- 30. Acts of intimidation include, but are not limited to threats that unless the unlawful Excess Lien Amounts are paid Plaintiffs, title to Plaintiffs' Real Property would remain clouded, thus, precluding Plaintiffs from selling their Real Property to ready, willing and able buyers, such that Plaintiffs' livelihood would be negatively impacted.
- 31. Defendants, and each of them, by directing and assisting HOAs and management companies to demand the Excess Lien Amounts, furnished advice, assistance or direction in the conduct, financing or management of the affairs of the criminal syndicate with the intent to promote or further the criminal objectives of the syndicate.
- 32. Plaintiffs, and each of them, have been injured in their business or property by reason of Defendants, and each of their violations of Nevada Revised Statutes §207.400 and have a cause of action against Defendants for three times the actual damages sustained.
- 33. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 34. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

# FACSIMILE (702) 838-3636

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# **Second Cause of Action**

# Negligence Per Se

- 35. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 34 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 36. Plaintiffs, having been the recipient of collection demands by Defendants and having owned the Real Property located within the HOAs, belong to a class of persons that Nevada Revised Statutes §649 and Nevada Revised Statutes §116, particularly Nevada Revised Statutes §649.375 and Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116 was intended to protect.
- 37. Defendants, and each of them have violated Nevada Revised Statutes by their actions as above described, and by using devices, subterfuge, pretense and deceptive means or representations to collect a debt, interest, charges, fees and expenses.
- 38. Each such violation by Defendants caused Plaintiffs to pay more money to the Defendants and HOAs than required by the Nevada Revised Statutes, said overpayment comprising Plaintiffs' injuries.
- 39. The Super Priority Lien Amount limit which is outlined in Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116 and the prohibited practices as outlined in Nevada Revised Statutes §649.375 are intended to protect the very Excess Lien Amount injuries incurred by Plaintiffs.
- 40. As a result of Defendants' actions as above described, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 41. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

# **Third Cause of Action**

# Slander of Title

42. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 41 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.

Page 8 of 13

	43.	Pursuant	to	Nevada	Revised	Statutes	§116.3116,	the	HOAs,	whom	Defendan	ts
repres	ent, had	a lien agair	ıst P	Plaintiffs	s' Real Pro	perty for	any assessme	ents,	penaltie	s, fees,	charges, lat	e
charge	s, fines	and interes	st pe	ermitted	under Ne	evada Rev	vised Statutes	s §11	6 to the	extent	of the Supe	21
Priority Lien Amount, if any.												

- 44. However, Defendants intentionally caused to publicly recorded or transmitted to 3rd parties false liens and to make false claims and demands to Plaintiffs and to third parties, such as escrow companies, for amounts in excess of the amounts allowed by law concerning the Real Property of Plaintiffs.
- 45. Defendants' have, thus, made false and malicious communications disparaging to Plaintiffs' title in Plaintiffs' Real Property and have slandered Plaintiffs' title to such Real Property.
- 46. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered special damages in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 47. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

# **Fourth Cause of Action**

# **Unjust Enrichment**

- 48. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 47 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 49. Based upon the conduct of Defendants as above described, the Defendants have received undeserved monetary benefits from the collection of the Excess Lien Amounts of other fees and charges from Plaintiffs.
- 50. The Defendants have been, therefore, unjustly enriched at the expense of the Plaintiffs giving rise to a legal and equitable duty to repay the Plaintiffs for all sums.
- 51. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have suffered special damages in excess of \$10,000.00.

52. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

# Fifth Cause of Action

# Declaratory Relief

- 53. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 52 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.
  - 54. Nevada has adopted the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Act (the "Act").
- 55. The Act permits persons whose rights, status or other legal relations affected by a statute or municipal ordinance to have determined by a court of competent jurisdiction any question of construction or validity arising under the statute or ordinance and obtain a declaration of rights, status or other legal relations thereunder.
- 56. Plaintiffs seek a declaration from this Honorable Court that declares that the HOAs, as statutory homeowners' associations under Nevada Revised Statutes §116, have a super priority lien for any assessments or fines levied against the Real Property of Plaintiffs, to the extent of the amount equal to the assessments for common expenses based upon the period budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months (only 6 months of assessments prior to October 1, 2009) immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien by the homeowners' association.
- 57. Plaintiffs seek a declaration from this Honorable Court that Defendants, through their collection efforts, have demanded and received from Plaintiffs monies in order to satisfy HOA Liens which exceed the Super Priority Lien Amount contained in Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116, and, therefore, the Excess Lien Amounts and other fees and charges have been unlawfully demanded and collected and must be returned with interest.

//

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Sixth Cause of Action

# **Intentional Misrepresentation**

- 58. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 57 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 59. Without legal right and on multiple occasions Defendants made written and oral demands for the Excess Lien Amounts to Plaintiffs or their agents.
- 60. However, contrary to the said demands, Plaintiffs had no legal obligation to pay the Excess Lien Amounts and Defendants had no legal right to so demand.
- 61. Said demands constitute false representations because Defendants claimed the Excess Lien Amounts were due, when in fact they were not due.
- 62. Defendants, when making such false representations and demands, knew or believed that said representations and demands were false, or had an insufficient basis of information for making the false representations and demands.
- 63. Defendants, through such false representations and demands, intended to induce Plaintiffs to pay the Excess Lien Amounts to Defendants.
  - 64. Plaintiffs justifiably relied upon Defendants' false representations and demands.
- 65. As a result of Defendants' actions as above described, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$10,000.00.
- 66. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.

# **Seventh Cause of Action**

# Conversion

67. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 66 above are hereby re-alleged and incorporated herein by this reference.

26 27

2	
3	said acts being in denial of or inconsistent with Plaintiffs' right and title therein, or in derogation,
4	exclusion or defiance of Plaintiffs' right and title therein.
5	69. As a result of Defendants' actions as above described, Plaintiffs have suffered damage
6	in excess of \$10,000.00.
7	70. As a result of Defendants' actions as herein described, Plaintiffs have been forced to
8	incur costs and fees in the prosecution of this action and have been required to hire an attorney and
9	incur attorney fees and costs to which Plaintiffs hereby make claim and to which Plaintiffs are entitled.
0	Eighth Cause of Action
1	Injunctive Relief
2	71. The allegations of paragraphs 1 through 70 above are hereby re-alleged and
3	incorporated herein by this reference.
4	72. Plaintiffs seeks injunctive relief against Defendants to enjoin them from making further
5	demands for Excessive Lien Amounts or other monies relating to the Real Property of Plaintiffs in
6	violation of Nevada Revised Statutes §116.3116 and Nevada Revised Statutes §649.375.
7	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
8	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs, expressly reserving their right to amend this pleading at the time of
9	or prior to trial, prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:
0	1. For general damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
1	2. For declaratory relief as set forth herein;
2	3. For injunctive relief as set forth herein;
3	4. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit of litigation thereof as damages and
4	under applicable statutes and/or as special damages in excess of \$10,000.00;
5	5. For pre and post judgement interest at the statutory rate as may be applicable;
6	6. For punitive and trebled damages;
7	
′	

Page 12 of 13

7.	For any further legal	and equitable	relief that this	Court may	deem just	and
	equitable.				$\neg$	

Dated this 27 day of January, 2010.

ADAMS LAW GROUP, LTD.

JAMES R. ADAMS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6874 ASSLY SAYYAR, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9178

8681 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 280 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Tel: 702-838-7200 Fax: 702-838-3636

james@adamslawnevada.com assly@adamslawnevada.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs