Document 1

Filed 03/11/2009

Page 1 of 29

Qase 2:09-cv-00473-KJD-GWF

- 1. This action arises out of defendants' violations of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1), including misrepresentations as to affiliation, connection, or association with the plaintiff in conjunction with defendants' services and commercial activities. As a result of defendants' Lanham Act violation, a federal question is presented, and diversity jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332 and jurisdiction in this Court is appropriate.
- 2. Plaintiff's claims include pendant state causes which include deceptive trade practices under NRS 598.0915(1), (2), (3), and (4), NRS 598.0923(1), and NRS 41.600(2)(e), and violations of Nevada common law.
 - 3. There is complete diversity between WLH and defendants.
- 4. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. WLH is seeking declaratory relief, and upon that ground alone, the pecuniary effect of an adverse declaration will exceed \$75,000. WLH is also seeking consequential and special damages in excess of \$75,000.

The Parties

- 5. WLH is a California corporation with its principal place of business in California.
- 6. Defendant Charles Leslie Partington (hereinafter "Partington"), d/b/a M.C. Mojave Construction (hereinafter "Mojave") a/k/a C D S Construction Design Specialists LLC (hereinafter "C D S") is a sole proprietor who has operated and continues to operate as Mojave and C D S in violation of Nevada law by relying upon an expired fictitious name certificate. Partington is not licensed to examine any component of a structure or to communicate an inspection report under NRS 645D.080, but holds himself out as "licensed" for that purpose.
- 7. While Partington has held a limited, individual B-2 Residential and Small Commercial contractor's license, the services described in this complaint do not constitute construction within the scope of that B-2 license.
 - 8. Partington is believed to be a citizen of Nevada.

- 9. Defendant John Wilson (hereinafter "Wilson") is believed to be a similarly unlicensed agent of Mojave who personally communicated misrepresentations and committed statutory violations described hereafter.
 - 10. Wilson is believed to be a citizen of Nevada.
 - 11. The events described herein occurred in Clark County, Nevada.
- otherwise, of defendants Doe Individuals I through X are unknown to plaintiff at the present time and plaintiff therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that each of the defendants designed as Doe Individuals I though X and Roe Entities I through I are responsible in some manner for the events, misconduct, and injuries referred to here. Once discovery has disclosed the identity of such entitles and individuals, plaintiff will ask leave of this Court to amend its Complaint to insert the true manes and capacities of said defendants and to join such defendants in this action.

Factual Allegations

- 13. Since 1995 to present, WLH has developed and continues to develop housing communities in the Greater Las Vegas, Nevada area including but not limited to the Kingsway Ridge, Ebbetts Ranch and Belvedere communities (hereinafter collectively referred to as "housing community developments").
- 14. WLH is obligated to respond to homeowner demands for WLH Corporation's warranty responsibilities within these housing community developments.
- 15. Partington and Wilson have solicited various homeowners throughout these housing communities to accept a "free" home inspection. Specifically, Partington and Wilson represented that Mojave would only collect a fee if or when the "builder" (a reference to WLH) reimbursed the homeowner as a result of the initiation of a subsequent demand made under NRS

Chapter 40. Mojave's agreements with homeowners for free inspections assign the right to recover any and all inspection fees from the builder as might later be recovered pursuant to NRS 40.655 to Mojave.

- 16. A demand made under Chapter 40 in the equivalent of a civil action.
- 17. Mojave's solicitation delivered by Partington and Wilson included the following representations:
 - a. The new right to repair law in Nevada states that homeowners have the right to be reimbursed for any reasonable cost or fees incurred for legal services and experts in order to ascertain the nature and extent of construction defects. NRS 40.655.
 - b. Under the new law homeowners have the right to have the builder make the necessary repairs to homes that show code violations or work performed under industry standards.
 - 18. Mojave then informed homeowners of their "options" which included:
 - (2) INSPECTION TEAMS can help the homeowners through the process by representing the interest of the homeowners when the builder and the subcontractors do their walk through, make sure the repairs are within code requirement or manufacturers specifications; complete the process by doing a final walk through inspection with the homeowners. The law states that you can be reimbursed for any reasonable expert fees. Be sure that the company you hire offers you a **Risk Free Service Agreement**. These companies look to be paid for their services only if you receive reimbursement from the builder. (3) LEGAL FIRMS THAT HANDLE CHAPTER 40 CLAIMS. A law firm can make sure the builder will honor his responsibility and ensure that your legal warranty period is protected and extended. The law firm will also hire their inspection teams to protect you from shoddy workmanship by subcontractors. Again make sure that the law firm will sign a **RISK FREE or CONTINGENCY FEE AGREEMENT**.
- 19. Mojave informed homeowner that "if you are not familiar with a law firm that handles Chapter 40 claims, we can provide the manes of three law firms that are willing to help you." Exhibit 1.
- 20. Mojave's fee agreement, when executed by homeowners, included the following representations:

- a. Homeowner agrees: to include all MCM invoices for serves rendered on behalf of homeowner by MCMC to the homebuilder and/or law firm if builder is represented by such via certified mail with return receipt request; if homeowner desires MCMC to send copies of said invoices to the builder and/or legal firm via certified mail please initial here
- b. Terms of payment. MCMC will ONLY collect said fee if or when the builder reimburses the Homeowner, Homeowner is not responsible to pay the fee until the Homeowner receives reimbursement from the builder for inspection fees. However Homeowner assigns to MCMC the right to recover any and all inspection fees from the builder if the builder fails to pay all the inspections as outlined in NRS 60.645 [sic]. Exhibit 2.
- 21. Once Mojave, through Partington and Wilson, have initiated an inspection or otherwise obtained an owner's consent to conduct an inspection, they then place (and have placed) placards or stickers in the form of Exhibit 3 on adjacent properties around the neighborhood. The placard states as follows:
 - *** NOTICE TO NEIGHBORS *** As a courtesy, we are informing you that, due to a 'Builder' home inspection you may experience a few hours of extra vehicular traffic in your neighborhood. These vehicles belong to representative & experts from both MC Mojave Construction & your Builder, his subcontractors and agents.

This inspection has been schedule [sic] for:

Once the Builder inspections are concluded, a repair plan and timeframe are provided to the homeowner for their review and approval. The Builder's repairs are also 'free' to ALL homeowners under a Chapter 40 claim, even if you are not the original homeowner.

If you have any questions or if you want to know if you qualify for a FREE home evaluation Please Call (702) 439-8504.

22. The language of the placard placed by Mojave contains misleading representations about the nature and characteristics of Mojave's services and wrongfully infers that the inspection or "free home evaluation" solicited by Mojave in the placard is an inspection by experts from Mojave and "your Builder". The placard further wrongfully advertises or

payment will be made the subject of the action pursued by the

27. The agreement of Mojave, Partington and/or W

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Champerty and Maintenance)

promotes Mojave's free inspection as identified with or the same as "the Builder inspection" to

be followed by "Builder's repairs ... even if you are not the original homeowner."

- 23. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 22 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. NRS 1.030 adopts the common law of England for the State of Nevada insofar as it is not repugnant to or in conflict of the constitution of the laws of the United States or the constitution or the laws of the State of Nevada.
- 25. In Nevada, actionable champerty is maintenance with the additional feature of an agreement for the payment of compensation or personal profit from the subject matter of a suit to be maintained against a third party. Maintenance exists when a person without an interest in the suit officiously intermeddles, promotes and assists to prosecute an action wrongfully in an effort to profit from the subject matter of the suit.
- 26. Mojave's arrangement made by or through Partington and/or Wilson with the homeowners that have initiated Chapter 40 demands makes possible recovery of fees for Mojave in mediation of the demand or subsequent suit. Mojave was otherwise without an interest in the claim, but Mojave makes it a feature of their agreement for payment for profit ensuring Mojave's payment will be made the subject of the action pursued by the third party.
- 27. The agreement of Mojave, Partington and/or Wilson with homeowners is completely illusory except for the recovery the homeowner makes through an action against WLH. Partington (or Wilson), upon information and belief, takes assignments of the homeowners' claims to recover fees, but even that assignment is believed void as the homeowner never incurs liability to Partington and Wilson for the fee in question.

- 28. Partington, Wilson and Mojave are strangers to any Chapter 40 demand or lawsuit brought by the homeowners against WLH and have contracted for an interest in the recovery in that lawsuit.
- 29. Based on Partington's, Wilson's and Mojave's representations to the homeowners, Mojave has expanded its own money completing inspections and will receive its fees only through litigation by the homeowner.
- 30. Inspections were conducted by Mojave at various WLH's housing community developments in anticipation of litigation.
- 31. Partington and/or Wilson also initiate and provide legal advice in violation of Nevada law concerning these specifics of NRS Chapter 40 and at certain places incorrectly describes those rights. Partington and/or Wilson recommends that any other inspection companies be paid for their services only if you receive reimbursements from the Builder and likewise encourages homeowners they deal with to make sure that the law firm will sign a Risk Free or contingency fee agreement. Mojave, through Partington and/or Wilson refers the vast majority of Chapter 40 claims and related litigation concerning WLH to two law firms.
- 32. WLH believes the arrangement made by Mojave or for the benefit of Mojave rewards Mojave for soliciting clients for two law firms and for referring clients to those law firms for purposes of accumulating Chapter 40 claims and related litigation.
- 33. WLH believes that in the course of initiating inspections, providing legal advice, and referring matters to lawyers, Partington and Wilson make misrepresentations to homeowners which result in interference with WLH's ongoing contractual relationships with the homeowners at issue, as further alleged below.

- 34. Partington's and Wilson's conduct on behalf of Mojave constitutes actionable champerty and maintenance and WLH has been damaged by the express requirement that homeowners prosecute actions against WLH.
 - 35. WLH is entitled to exemplary damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.
- 36. It has been necessary for WLH to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover attorney's fees therefore.

<u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Violation of Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices Act)

- 37. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 36 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. Defendant Partington is not licensed to conduct inspections of residential property, not licensed to examine any component of a structure and not licensed to prepare or communicate an inspection report under NRS 645D.080. Partington holds himself out to the public as a person who inspects residential properties and in fact his solicitations contain references to the "Inspection Division" of Mojave as does his correspondence, prepared reports, and on his website.
- 39. Wilson, likewise, is not licensed to conduct inspections of residential property or examine any component of a structure and not licensed to prepare or communicate an inspection report under NRS 645D.080.
- 40. Both Partington and Wilson have engaged in a deceptive trade practice by conducting business or occupation without required state licenses in violation of NRS 598.0923.
- 41. WLH has been damaged by false representations in violation NRS 41.600(1) and (2)(e) and WLH Communities is a victim of the consumer fraud initiated by the defendants in violation of Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices Act.
 - 42. WLH Communities is entitled to exemplary damages under NRS 42.005.

43. It has been necessary for WLH Communities to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover attorney's fees therefore.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of the Lanham Act under 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1) and Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act)

- 44. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 43 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. The misleading representations of defendants Mojave, Partington and Wilson are intended to convince the reader/homeowner to call the Mojave phone number because they "builder" (inferring WLH) is encouraging them to call and arrange an inspection.
- 46. WLH is not affiliated with Mojave, Partington and Wilson and has not authorized the representations in the placard associating WLH with Mojave.
- 47. The false and misleading representations in the placard are representations in commerce made in connection with Mojave's inspection services.
- 48. The false and misleading representations in the placard are made in the context of commercial advertising or commercial promotion.
- 49. Mojave's actions by way of Partington's and Wilson's conduct have caused WLH to be competitively injured by false and misleading factual representation and other verbal representations and damage has resulted. The injury is ongoing.
- 50. Mojave's representations made by Partington and Wilson are likely to cause confusion, mistake or to deceive the reader as their affiliation, connection, or association.

 Specifically, these representations were made to give the homeowner reader the impression that Mojave, Partington and Wilson were in fact affiliated with WLH. Specifically, these representations were made to give the homeowner reader the impression that Mojave, Partington and Wilson were in fact affiliated with the Builder, WLH.

- 51. The representations on their face have a tendency to deceive by a way of a false description of a connection or in affiliation with WLH.
- 52. The continuation of such conduct and distribution of such false and misleading misrepresentations is anticipated. The majority of Chapter 40 demands received from homeowners within the WLH's Communities are based upon inspections Mojave, through Partington and Wilson, have actively solicited in the fashion described in this Complaint.
- 53. The interference described hereafter resulting from such conduct includes the probability WLH will lose good will, suffer competitive injury, and incur other damages which are irreparable. As a result, WLH is entitled to injunctive relief prohibiting defendants' further solicitations through false representations and misleading statements of fact constituting violations of the Lanham Act under 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)(1) and Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practice Statute Violations.
 - 54. WLH is entitled to exemplary damages under § 35 of the Lanham Act.
 - 55. WLH is entitled to three times actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1117(a).
- 56. Defendants' intentional false representations as to the source, sponsorship, and approval of services, inferring those services are those of another person and knowingly making false representations as to affiliation, connection and association with WLH constitutes deceptive trade practices made in violation of NRS 598.0915.
- 57. The deceptive trade practices described above are actionable in Nevada pursuant to NRS 41.600(1) and (2)(e) and WLH is a victim of the consumer fraud initiated by the defendants in violating Nevada's Deceptive Trade Practices Act.
- 58. WLH is entitled to actual damages, consequential damages and punitive damages, including exemplary damages under NRS 42.005.

59. It has been necessary for WLH to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover attorney's fees therefore.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Interference)

- 60. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 59 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. Mojave, Partington and Wilson have interfered with contractual relationships of WLH's Communities and homeowners referred to here as Homeowners A, B, C and D, who are actual homeowners in the WLH's housing community developments, but whose names are withheld for privacy.
- 62. Homeowners A, B, C and D each purchased a home from WLH in Clark County, Nevada. The contracts for sale included a number of continuing duties and obligations. Both the seller and the buyers have rights and obligations that continue following the real estate closing. For each of these homeowners, there existed an ongoing limited warrant ("WLH Home Warranty") right and obligations.
- 63. Mojave, through Partington and Wilson, approached Homeowners A, B, C and D and offered to conduct a free inspection of their homes on terms described above during the active warranty period. Each inspection was performed and Mojave provided a report to Homeowners A, B, C, and D.
- 64. The reports each identified items which, if factual, fell within the scope of WLH's Home Warranty.
- 65. Mojave then spoke with Homeowners A, B, C and D concerning the respective reports and Mojave's findings. Mojave suggested that they procure legal counsel and referred them to counsel.

- 66. During the time periods ranging from one month to six months, Homeowners A, B, C and D had no communications directly with WLH or under the WLH home warranty as they had been persuaded to pursue their claims by way of Chapter 40 demand and through litigation following the Chapter 40 demand.
- 67. Repair demands asserted on behalf of Homeowner A, B, C and D under Chapter 40 identified no construction defects and no areas of repair other than corrections that fell within the parameters of WLH Home Warranty.
- 68. WLH regards its warranties as a customer service feature of its contracts and its customers' goodwill depends in part upon their ability to have repairs made under the warranties that WLH provides. The continuing relationship of WLH with its customers is a significant commercial relationship of great important to WLH. Interference with an ongoing contractual relationship that existed between WLH Communities and Homeowners A, B, C and D occurred through the intentional and bad faith conduct of Mojave, Partington and Wilson by:
 - a. initiating inspection efforts for which Mojave is not licensed in Nevada;
 - b. conducting an inspection in bad faith with the purpose to foment or create claims against WLH that have no merit, or are otherwise covered by the WLH Home Warranty; and
 - c. to preempt Homeowners A's, B's, C's and D's ability to deal with WLH under their existing warranty relationship and instead counseling and intentionally directing the homeowners to file suit utilizing a specific law firm that initiates immediate instructions to make no contact with the homeowner except through counsel.
- 69. Disruption of WLH's warranty relationship with Homeowners A, B, C, and D occurred.

- 70. The actions of Mojave, Partington and Wilson were intentional, intended or designed to disrupt the contractual relationship between WLH and Homeowners A, B, C and D and an actual disruption of those contracts resulted.
- 71. WLH has sustained damages in excess of \$75,000 as a result of defendants' actions in the form of loss of the preemptive use of warranty service to protect the company from the expense of confrontation and loss of goodwill in WLH's customer relationship. WLH has unnecessarily incurred costs and fees in the defense of claims asserted by Homeowners A, B, C, and D.
 - 72. WLH is entitled to exemplary damages pursuant to NRS 42.005.
- 73. It has been necessary for WLH to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover attorney's fees therefore.

<u>FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Temporary Restraining Order/Preliminary and Permanent Injunction)

- 74. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 73 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. WLH is entitled to an injunction prohibiting further written or verbal representations by Mojave, Partington and Wilson, or any of them, which have a tendency to deceive by way of a false description of a connection or affiliation with WLH, including, but not limited to the distribution of placards in the form of or similar to Exhibit 3.
- 76. WLH is entitled to an injunction to prevent further representations by defendants Mojave, Partington and Wilson or any of them of appropriate licensure constituting a violation of NRS 598.0923.
- 77. WLH is entitled to injunctive relief to preempt further interference with its homeowner warranty agreements described herein as WLH Home Warranty.

78. WLH is entitled to injunctive relief barring Mojave, Partington and Wilson, and each of them, from further initiating agreements which constitute champerty and maintenance in which the three of them, or any of them, promote agreements, directly or indirectly, which depend upon recovery in future claims against WLH in which Mojave, Partington and Wilson have no interest as claimants.

79. It has been necessary for WLH to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover attorney's fees therefore.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Recovery of Attorney's Fees under Sandy Valley)

- 80. WLH repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 79 in plaintiff's complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 81. The bad faith conduct of Partington, Wilson and Mojave necessitated the expenditure of attorney's fees by WLH.
- 82. WLH has incurred fees and costs which are sought here as special damages consistent with Sandy Valley Associates v. Sky Ranch Estates Owners Association, 117 Nev. 948, 956, 35 P.3d 964, 969 (2001).
- 83. WLH has incurred fees in defense of the wrongful Chapter 40 Notices for Homeowners A, B, C and D and otherwise by reason of Mojave's champertous conduct.
- 84. It has been necessary for WLH to obtain the services of an attorney to pursue this claim and it is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees incurred herein.

WHEREFORE, William Lyon Homes prays for relief as follows:

1. For judgment against Partington in an amount in excess of \$75,000 to be determined at trial for actual damages, consequential damages and exemplary damages pursuant to NRS 42.005;

Nevada Bar. No. 006791

ANDREW H. PASTWICK, ESO.

Nevada Bar No. 009146

4560 S. Decatur Boulevard, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89103

tel: (702) 794-4411 fax: (702) 794-4421

creade@readelawfirm.com

apastwick@readelawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff William Lyon Homes, Inc.

28

22

23

24

25

26

27

Exhibit "1"

Construction Design Specialists, LLC

Construction Investigations & Consulting Licensed General Contractor: B-0058810 1.0620 Southern Highland Parkway, Suite 110-103 - Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 - Phone 702-341-6068

THE NEW 'RIGHT TO REPAIR' LAW IN NEVADA

States that homeowners have the rights to be reimbursed for any reasonable cost or fees incurred for legal services and experts in order to ascertain the nature and extent of constructional defects.... NRS Chapter 40.655

Under the new law homeowners have the right to have the builder make the necessary repairs to homes that show code violations or work performed under industry standards. Your options are:

- 1) HOMEOWNERS can notify the homebuilder via Certified Mail with return receipt requested, explaining that CDS has conducted an evaluation and discovered constructional defects or manufacturers specification not being adhered to. Homeowners should be aware of the process outlined in Chapter 40 of the Nevada Law if they intend protect their own rights.
- 2) INSPECTION TEAMS can help the homeowners through the process by representing the interest of the homeowners when the builder and subcontractors do their walk through; make sure the repairs are within code requirements or manufacturers specifications; complete the process by doing a final walk through inspection with the homeowners. The law states that you can be reimbursed for any reasonable expert fees. Be sure that the company you hire offers you a Risk Free Service Agreement. These companies look to be paid for their services only if you receive reimbursements from the builder.
- 3) LEGAL FIRMS THAT HANDLE CHAPTER 40 CLAIMS. A law firm can make sure the builder will honor his responsibility and ensure that your legal warranty period is protected and extended. The law firm will also hire their own inspection teams to protect you from shoddy workmanship by subcontractors. Again make sure that the law firm will sign a RISK FREE or CONTINGENCY FEE AGREEMENT.

If you are not familiar with a law firm that handles Chapter 40 claims, we can provide the names of three law firms that are willing to help you.

If we can be of any further assistance please contact us at (702) 341-6068.

At Your Service,

Exhibit

MC Mojave Construction

Construction Investigations & Consulting | Lienard Ceneral Contraction B-4613577; 5001 Jay Ave. - Las Vegas, Breada 2130 - inspection Division Phone (702) 434-6504

CHAPTER 40 INSPECTIONS AND EVALUATION AGREEMENT

Description and Cost of Services

ERS Report. MCMC will provide a written report that will define what we believe to be code or manufacturer's violation or work that we feel is below industry standards to the builder.

- The report will include pictures of what we believe to be the violation(s).
- The report will diagram the house and make approximate notations of where the violation(s) occurs.
- The report will include industry standard technical data sheets & typical repair scope for violation(s).

Builders Inspection. MCMC will attend the builder's inspection if requested by homeowner.

Pre-Repair Mtg. MCMC will attend a pre-repair meeting with builder and/or builders' experts to discuss and agree on the type of repairs to be made prior to any repair work commencement if approved by builder.

Evaluation of Repairs. MCMC will attend up to 10 hours of inspections to monitor the repairs being made by builder or their subcontractors. If the repairs requires more than ten hours of inspections, MCMC will bill out each additional hour at the rate of \$145.00 per hour.

Final inspection. MCMC will attend the final walk-thru with the homeowner and discuss what has or has not been accomplished. A written report will be produced if requested by homeowner.

Homeowner agrees:

To include all MCM invoices for services rendered in behalf of homeowner by MCMC to the homebuilder and/or law firm if builder is represented by such via certified mail with return receipt request; If homeowner desires MCMC to send copies of said invoices to the builder and/or legal firm via certified mail please initial here

Terms of payment

MCMC will ONLY collect said fee if or when the builder reimburses the Homeowner, Homeowner is not responsible to pay the fee until the Homeowner receives reimbursement from the builder for inspection fees. However, Homeowner assigns to MCMC the right to recover any and all inspection fees from the builder if the builder fails to pay all the inspection fees as outlined in NRS 60.645

Cost for MCMC service Due at the time of signing

Due at completion

Total

\$500.00 \$1300.00

\$1300.00

M C Mojave Construction

5001 Jay Ave

Las Vegas, Nevada 89130

Inspection Division (702) 439-8504

BRANDI GARLUTZO

JUL 2 5 2007

REDACTED

Exhibit

As a coursey, we are informing son that due to a Builder mome inspection, you be notes he long to representatives & experis from both MC Wojave construction which is the long to representatives & experis from both MC Wojave construction with the wolf in the builder, thus anspection has been schedule for the Builder, thus anspection as are constructed as each plan plan and time frame are movined to the Builder inspections are constructed as each plan plan and time frame are provided to the Builder inspections are constructed as each plan plan and time frame are provided to the Builder as possible for the first way and approval The Builder is repair as the provided to the Builder and the surface of Chapter 40 claim, even if you are not the also the its volume where any question of it you want to know if you qualify for a IREE home evaluation if you qualify for a IREE home evaluation please Call (702) 439-8504

REDACTED

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
VILLIAM LYON HOME	S, INC. f/k/a PRESLE	EY HOMES			LIE PARTINGTON d/i DN (see attachment)	o/a M.C. MOJAVE
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff C	alifornia		County of Residence o	1 1 11 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Nevada
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	SES)		310mm B/1 43/1	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	
					O CONDEMNATION CASES, US NVOLVED.	SE THE LOCATION OF THE
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Known)		
see attachment)						
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" i	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P. (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☑ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box On		eren erene)RECULURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		O Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 362 Personal Injury	. 🗇 62	0 Other Food & Drug	U 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med, Malpractic 365 Personal Injury		5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona		0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
	☐ 330 Federal Employers'	Injury Product	☐ 65	0 Airline Regs.	□ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 340 Marine	Liability PERSONAL PROPER		0 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud	☐ 69	0 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending380 Other Personal		LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	,	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge
□ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	 385 Property Damage Product Liability 		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury			& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITIO ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment	Sentence	□ 79	1 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	U 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General	Ī	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 895 Freedom of Information Act
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application		☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 	☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot ☐ 550 Civil Rights		52 Naturalization Application 53 Habeas Corpus -	1	to Justice
	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee 55 Other Immigration		 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights		10 40	Actions		State Statutes
☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 F	State Court	Appellate Court	Reo	pened anoth	ferred from G 6 Multidist Litigation	n Magistrate
	Cite the U.S. Civil Str 28 U.S.C. 1332	tute under which you a	re filing	(Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Brief description of ca					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P	IS A CLASS ACTIO	n D 75,000	EMAND \$.00	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	vif demanded in complaint: e: □ Yes ☑ No
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD		
2/25/	, D G			11. Parti	=-h	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	- 1	in	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	11. Vanu		
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE

Document 1

Case 2:09-cv-00473-KJD-GWF

Filed 03/1/1/2009

Page 24 of 29

C	se 2:09-cv-00473-KJD-GWF Document 1 Filed 03/11/2009 Page 25 of 29
X	name and address are:
1	
2	R. Christopher Reade, Esq. Reade & Associates
3	4560 S. Decatur Boulevard, Suite 201 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
4	If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief
5	demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.
6	
7	CLERK OF COURT
8	Deter
9	Date:
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	-
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	,
26	
27	
28	·

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

2	STATE OF) ss.
3) ss. (COUNTY OF)
	, being duly sworn says: That at all times herein affiant was and
4 5	is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. That affiant received copy(ies) of the Summons and Complaint, on the day of February, 2009, and served the same on the day of, 2009, by:
	(affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph)
6	1. delivering and leaving a copy with the Defendant at
7	(state address)
8	2. serving the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy with,
9	a person of suitable age and discretion residing at Defendant's usual place_of abode located at: (state address)
10	(Use paragraph 3 for service upon agent, completing A or B)
11	3. serving the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy at
12	a. with as, an agent
13	a. with as, an agent lawfully designated by statute to accept service of process:
	b. with, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of suitable
14	age and discretion at the above address, which address is the address of the resident shown on the current certificate of designation filed with the Secretary of State.
15	4. personally depositing a copy in a mail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed envelope
16	postage prepaid (check appropriate method):
17	ordinary mail
18	certified mail, return receipt requested registered mail, return receipt requested
19	addressed to the Defendant at Defendant's last known address which is
	(state address)
20	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me
21	this day of, 2009.
22	
23	NOTARY PUBLIC in and
24	For Said Count and State.
25	
26	
27	
28	II

27

Ca	ase 2:09-cv-00473-KJD-GWF Document 1 Filed 03/11/2009 Page 28 of 29
1 2 3	name and address are: R. Christopher Reade, Esq. Reade & Associates 4560 S. Decatur Boulevard, Suite 201 Las Vegas, Nevada 89103
4	If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief
5	demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.
6	CLEDIT OF COLUMN
7	CLERK OF COURT
8	Date:
9	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk
10	
11	
12	-
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COU	TE OF)) ss. NTY OF)
affida	, being duly sworn says: That at all times herein affiant was stizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which vit is made. That affiant received copy(ies) of the Summons and Complaint, on the day, 2009, and served the same on the day of, 2009, by: (affiant must complete the appropriate paragraph)
1.	delivering and leaving a copy with the Defendant at (state address)
2.	serving the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy with a person of suitable age and discretion residing at Defendant's usual place_of abode located at: address) (Use paragraph 3 for service upon agent, completing A or B)
3.	serving the Defendant by personally delivering and leaving a copy at
	a. with as, an a lawfully designated by statute to accept service of process:
	b. with, pursuant to NRS 14.020 as a person of sur age and discretion at the above address, which address is the address of the res shown on the current certificate of designation filed with the Secretary of State.
4.	personally depositing a copy in a mail box of the United States Post Office, enclosed in a sealed enveloperage prepaid (check appropriate method):
	postage prepart (check appropriate method). ordinary mail certified mail, return receipt requested registered mail, return receipt requested
	ordinary mail certified mail, return receipt requested registered mail, return receipt requested addressed to the Defendant at Defendant's last known address wh
SUBS	ordinary mail certified mail, return receipt requested registered mail, return receipt requested